

in with one action; many a man is run out by a quick, accurate, low-throwing long-leg.

It only remains to say that, as a rule, when "over" is called the fieldsmen do not all take up the same places on the opposite side of the wicket, but alter, so as to save time. Longfield-off becomes long-on; slip becomes bowler, mid-off or cover-point go to long-leg, and so on, as shown in the Washington and Motherwit match. A left-handed batsman is a nuisance, as the field has to be reversed every time a single or three is scored during the over. There should be no difficulty in this with fieldsmen who are up to their work; it seems very easy, once you have taken up a position, to walk back to it, but it is astonishing how many moderate players require telling every change.

(To be continued.)

COMMUNICATION.

THE TORONTO CLUB.

To the Editor of the Canadian Cricket Field.

SIR,—In common with almost every other cricketer in the city, I feel great regret at the manner in which the affairs of our largest cricketing organization have been managed (or mismanaged), and propose, with your permission, to try and show the committee the way matters look to an outsider, and suggest a remedy.

The evil to be complained of is too few matches, and, of course, bad play in those which are undertaken.

For the remedying of bad play there are two methods, one of which, practice with first-class professional players, is unfortunately out of reach, but the other is left us; and for making good all-round men, I don't know but it is best to play as many matches as possible.

Do the Toronto Club do this? Certainly not. How many matches did they manage to play last season? how many, so far, in this? Why, there is not a little country club in Ontario, with a roll of a score or two of players, whose members have not had more cricket than the Toronto Club.

The trouble is that the T. C. C. desires to play its very strongest eleven on all possible and impossible occasions, and unless they can get their supposed strongest eleven together, will not play at all. This is an excellent thing for about fifteen members of the club, but not so good for the great remainder whose subscriptions keep the institution moving.

With the membership roll of the Toronto C. C. they should be and are able to get up an eleven every day of the week, and two on Saturdays, if they only endeavoured to play—first, cricket, and second, to win; but so long as they put cricket in the last place, will they fail to get up teams to play, and lose matches when they do play.

The remedy is not far to seek—it lies at their very doors, with a dozen clubs (weak, I grant, but each able to put four or five good men in the field) ready to give them a match a week. It is not necessary to "funk" because a familiar face is not in the bowler's place, as something more than half their eleven, as at present constituted, are bound to do.

If the club will only go in for cricket, put three or four of their good men on the various teams, and challenge everything within reach, strong or weak, they will soon be in a position

to play, and win, a couple of good matches each week, as, instead of fourteen or fifteen good men from which to choose the eleven (think of the manœuvring necessary to get them all away at once), they will have thirty or forty names at command, all of whom have faced bowling enough from a strange hand not to lose their heads if the ball comes a little differently from the old familiar way.

Now let some youngster abuse

THE VETERAN.

TORONTO, June 26th, 1882.

ENGLAND

Surrey v. Middlesex was finished on the 3rd, the former winning by 28 runs.

An exciting match was played on the 5th, 6th and 7th inst., between Sussex and Derbyshire. Score: Derbyshire, 283 and 118; total, 401; Sussex, 182 and 222; total, 402.

A match was decided at Horsham on Saturday, between teams representing Horsham and Storrington, and four members of the Lucas family played in the former. Horsham went to the wicket first, and stayed there all day for a total of 553, towards which Mr. F. M. Lucas contributed 302. He batted in excellent style, and during his innings only gave two difficult chances. This is the highest individual score of the year.

AUSTRALIANS v. NOTTINGHAMSHIRE.

The latter team defeated the two former Australian teams, and consequently great interest was shown in the third match, which was begun on the 8th inst.

Notts played all professionals, among whom was Wright, who bowled for the so-called Canadian Team. The result was a draw in favour of Australia. Score:

AUSTRALIANS.

| 1st Innings. | 2nd Innings. |
|---|-----------------------------|
| H. H. Massie, run out.....11 | c Barnes, b Morley..... 1 |
| Bannerman, c Sherwin, b Shaw..... 12 | c Shrewsbury, b Shaw..... 7 |
| W. L. Murdoch, c Scotton, b Flowers..... 28 | b Wright.....24 |
| G. Giffen, b Morley..... 1 | c Morley, b Barnes..... 0 |
| G. J. Bonnor, c Gunn, b Shaw 1 | c Butler, b Morley.....39 |
| S. P. Jones, b Morley..... 0 | c Selby, b Morley.....17 |
| J. M. Blackham, not out...56 | b Shaw..... 0 |
| T. W. Garrett, c Gunn, b Barnes..... 0 | c Shrewsbury, b Shaw... 3 |
| H. F. Boyle, c Shrewsbury, b Barnes..... 0 | not out..... 0 |
| G. E. Palmer l b w, b Wright.26 | c Selby, b Shaw..... 7 |
| F. R. Spofforth, b Wright... 7 | absent..... 8 |
| Extras..... 142 | Extras..... 8 |
| Total.....142 | Total.....106 |

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE.

| 1st Innings. | 2nd Innings. |
|---|-----------------|
| Selby, c Bonnor, b Garrett...38 | b Palmer..... 0 |
| Scotton, b Spofforth..... 0 | not out..... 4 |
| Barnes, b Palmer..... 2 | |
| Shrewsbury, c Bannerman, b Garrett.....30 | |
| Butler, b Garrett... 0 | |
| Gunn, not out.....13 | not out..... 5 |
| Flowers, c Murdoch, b Garrett 0 | |
| Shaw, b Palmer..... 4 | |
| Wright, b Palmer..... 0 | |
| Sherwin, b Palmer.....16 | |
| Morley, b Garrett..... 6 | |
| Extras..... 7 | Extras..... 3 |
| Total.....110 | Total.....12 |