

THE BENNET BASEBALL TEAM WON ON SATURDAY FROM SOMERVILLE'S

Large Audience Witnesses a Well-Played Game at Springbank.

The Bennett and the Somerville teams of the Wholesale League played at Springbank on Saturday afternoon before a large audience. The game was won by the Bennets by a score of 11 to 6. The winning of this game places the Bennett team in a good position in the race. The Somervilles presented a strong team, which has been really strengthened by the addition of Brooks, Marshall and Lee—all excellent players. On the other hand, the Bennets were weakened in Saturday's game through the loss of Cartledge and Patrick, who were unavoidably absent. For the Bennets, Cartledge, in left field, as well as at the bat, played great ball. Waters, behind the bat, had 11 put-outs. Heathfield and Brooks both pitched good ball, the former being well supported. Marshall was hit by a pitched ball in the fifth inning and had to retire from the game. Brooks played well for the Somervilles and caught splendidly. Quick also did some good hitting.

The games of the morning no doubt will be largely attended. The first game will be at 9 and the second at 10:30 a.m. The teams are Somervilles vs.

Wormans, Bennets vs. Canadian Packing Company.

Following are the scores of Saturday's game:

	A.	B.	R.	H.	P.	O.	A.	E.
Bennets	11	6	0	1	1	1	1	1
Somervilles	6	11	0	1	1	1	1	1
Waters, c.	4	3	0	1	1	1	1	1
Smith, s.	4	3	0	1	1	1	1	1
Cartledge, l. f.	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Cochrane, l. f.	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
R. Heathfield, 3 b.	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Brooks, c.	4	0	2	3	0	0	0	0
Hawe, c. f.	4	0	2	3	0	0	0	0
Porsythe, r. f.	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Graham, 2 b.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Heathfield, p.	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Totals	28	11	11	21	5	4	4	4

Runs by innings:

Bennets	1	0	2	0	3	0	5	11
Somervilles	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	6

Hits by innings:

Bennets	1	2	0	4	1	2	11
Somervilles	2	4	1	0	1	1	10

Summary: Struck out—Somervilles 10, Bennets 8. Stolen bases—Waters 2, Smith, Player, Orr, Beggs, Hitt by pitcher—Marshall, Beggs, Orr, Umpire, George Pierce, Scorer, C. G. Moorhead.

Chicago, Aug. 5.—A riot occurred at the close of the New York-Pittsburgh game today. With the score 5 to 0, with no one out and a Pittsburgh player on third, in the last half of the ninth, New York started a fight and refused to play. They objected to a decision by Gausewine, calling him a cheater and to play, and became very ugly with Umpires Emmsie and Bausewine. Umpire Bausewine pulled his watch and threatened to forfeit the game if play was not resumed in one minute. McGraw shook his fist in the face of Bausewine while Matthews tried to knock the umpire's watch from his hand. This settled matters. The moment the hands of the watch showed the minute was up, Bausewine held up his hands, shouting that the game had been forfeited to Pittsburgh 9 to 0 and the New York players started for Umpire Bausewine's hotel.

Just about the time the New Yorkers started for him, about 10,000 of the 18,000 spectators in the field and stands started for McGraw and his players. They were soon surrounded by a loud and angry mob, many of which wanted to get a piece of some member of the team. Scores of police seemed to rise out of the ground and the bloodthirsty crowd was hamed back with clubs. Fred Clarke came in with his players and helped beat the crowd back from the New York players. The New Yorkers were, at the end of fifteen minutes, taken in couples from the field and lodged in their carriages back of the stand.

The crowd, which was the biggest ever appearing at a game in Pittsburgh, extended round the stand and there was no protection for the players. Some of the rougher element among the fans took shots with stones at the New Yorkers as they started out in their carriages. The yellow blankets, "New York Champions" were torn from the horses of McGraw's carriages and the entire club was stoned down Robinson street. Several of the New York players were hurt, but not seriously by the flying stones. McGraw said they felt glad to have escaped with their lives. McGraw said he would protest the game. The New York team will likely be fined \$1,000 for allowing the game to be protested. Matthews had a bad first inning. Pittsburgh scored three runs off him and then scored one in the second. In the sixth they scored another. New York did not begin until the sixth. When Bowerman was slipped over by a little hitting and new work. In the seventh McGraw, Mertes, Dahlen and Gilbert were out, tying the score, and so the teams went down to the fatal ninth. Philippi succeeded Case in the eighth.

Saturday's Games.

EASTERN LEAGUE.

At Jersey City—R. H. E. 10-9-10-10-9-9-5-15-7.

Batteries—Walters and Payne; Clarkson and McCauley. Umpires, Hassett and Moran.

At Providence—0-0-0-0-1-0-0-0-2-8-2.

Batteries—Milligan and McGowan; Poles and Thomas. Umpire, Egan.

At Newark—0-1-0-2-0-0-0-8-10-2.

Batteries—Blaney and Moriarty and Shea. Umpire, Conroy.

At Baltimore—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-6-3-1.

Batteries—McPherson and Sullivan; Mason and Myers. Umpire, Zimmerman.

At New York (first game)—R. H. E. 1-1-0-0-0-0-0-1-3-2-1.

Batteries—Sudhoff and Spencer; Chesbro and Kleinow.

At New York (second game)—R. H. E. 1-0-0-0-0-0-0-1-4-5-7-5.

Batteries—Pelly and Spencer; Orth and McGuire. Umpire, Sheridan.

At Boston—2-0-0-0-0-0-0-4-12-0-0.

Batteries—Moore and Bemis; Young and Criger. Umpire, Connolly.

At Philadelphia—1-0-0-0-1-1-0-0-3-10-5.

Batteries—Kilgus and Warner; McDowell and Schrengelous. Umpires, McCarthy and Connor.

At Washington—0-0-0-0-0-1-0-0-1-4-4.

Batteries—Walsh and McFarland; Hughes and Kitzredge. Umpires, Patterson and O'Loughlin.

NATIONAL LEAGUE.

At Pittsburgh—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-5-5-4-1.

Batteries—Mathewson and Bowerman; Phillips and Glavin. Umpires, Poles and Emmsie.

At Philadelphia—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-1-3-2-1.

Batteries—Emmsie and Bausewine. Game forfeited to Pittsburgh, 9-0, on account of New York refusing to abide by decision of umpire.

At Chicago (first game)—R. H. E. 0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-7-2.

Batteries—Walters and Payne; Clarkson and McCauley. Umpires, Hassett and Moran.

At Providence—0-0-0-0-1-0-0-0-2-8-2.

Batteries—Milligan and McGowan; Poles and Thomas. Umpire, Egan.

At Newark—0-1-0-2-0-0-0-8-10-2.

Batteries—Blaney and Moriarty and Shea. Umpire, Conroy.

At Baltimore—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-6-3-1.

Batteries—McPherson and Sullivan; Mason and Myers. Umpire, Zimmerman.

At New York (first game)—R. H. E. 1-1-0-0-0-0-0-1-3-2-1.

Batteries—Sudhoff and Spencer; Chesbro and Kleinow.

At New York (second game)—R. H. E. 1-0-0-0-0-0-0-1-4-5-7-5.

Batteries—Pelly and Spencer; Orth and McGuire. Umpire, Sheridan.

At Boston—2-0-0-0-0-0-0-4-12-0-0.

Batteries—Moore and Bemis; Young and Criger. Umpire, Connolly.

At Philadelphia—1-0-0-0-1-1-0-0-3-10-5.

Batteries—Kilgus and Warner; McDowell and Schrengelous. Umpires, McCarthy and Connor.

At Washington—0-0-0-0-0-1-0-0-1-4-4.

Batteries—Walsh and McFarland; Hughes and Kitzredge. Umpires, Patterson and O'Loughlin.

NATIONAL LEAGUE.

At Pittsburgh—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-5-5-4-1.

Batteries—Mathewson and Bowerman; Phillips and Glavin. Umpires, Poles and Emmsie.

At Philadelphia—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-1-3-2-1.

Batteries—Emmsie and Bausewine. Game forfeited to Pittsburgh, 9-0, on account of New York refusing to abide by decision of umpire.

At Chicago (first game)—R. H. E. 0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-7-2.

Batteries—Walters and Payne; Clarkson and McCauley. Umpires, Hassett and Moran.

At Providence—0-0-0-0-1-0-0-0-2-8-2.

Batteries—Milligan and McGowan; Poles and Thomas. Umpire, Egan.

At Newark—0-1-0-2-0-0-0-8-10-2.

Batteries—Blaney and Moriarty and Shea. Umpire, Conroy.

At Baltimore—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-6-3-1.

Batteries—McPherson and Sullivan; Mason and Myers. Umpire, Zimmerman.

At New York (first game)—R. H. E. 1-1-0-0-0-0-0-1-3-2-1.

Batteries—Sudhoff and Spencer; Chesbro and Kleinow.

At New York (second game)—R. H. E. 1-0-0-0-0-0-0-1-4-5-7-5.

Batteries—Pelly and Spencer; Orth and McGuire. Umpire, Sheridan.

At Boston—2-0-0-0-0-0-0-4-12-0-0.

Batteries—Moore and Bemis; Young and Criger. Umpire, Connolly.

At Philadelphia—1-0-0-0-1-1-0-0-3-10-5.

Batteries—Kilgus and Warner; McDowell and Schrengelous. Umpires, McCarthy and Connor.

At Washington—0-0-0-0-0-1-0-0-1-4-4.

Batteries—Walsh and McFarland; Hughes and Kitzredge. Umpires, Patterson and O'Loughlin.

NATIONAL LEAGUE.

At Pittsburgh—0-0-0-0-0-0-0-5-5-4-1.

Batteries—Mathewson and Bowerman; Phillips and Glavin. Umpires, Poles and Emmsie.

LONDON OLD BOYS.



R. J. IRVINE, Detroit. CAPT. A. COYNE, Honolulu. F. C. THORPE, Chicago.

A SPOON SHOOT AT COVE RANGES

Company "A" of the Seventh Regiment Has Two Competitions.

Company "A" of the Seventh Regiment held a very successful spoon shoot at the Cove ranges on Saturday afternoon. The weather was of a good brand, despite the fact that it had been raining heavily in the morning, and the wind was steady.

After the shooting was over, a supper was served in the clubhouse, and the affair took the shape of a pleasant reunion. The prizes for the occasion were donated by Major Hayes, Capt. Taylor, Lieut. Westland and Tacklesberry & Co. They were won as follows:

Company Match—First prize, silver button, Pte. F. N. Newton; second prize, Pte. F. N. Newton; third prize, Pte. F. N. Newton; fourth prize, Pte. F. N. Newton.

Troop Match—First prize, button, Pte. Cartledge; second prize, Pte. F. Harding; third prize, Pte. Woodward; fourth prize, Pte. F. Campbell.

A special prize for best score at 600 yards was won by Color-Sergeant Jacob.

ST. PETER'S ARE LEAGUE CHAMPIONS

Win Eleven Games, Without a Loss, and Can't Be Beaten Out.

The St. Peter's Baseball Club clinched the championship in the Junior City League by defeating the Rockets at Springbank on Saturday.

The game was very interesting, the features being the pitching of Muckler and the hitting of Niosi, who had three two-baggers and one three-bagger to his credit. The score:

St. Peter's 11 0 0 0 2 1 2 2 2 14 15 4

Rockets 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 7 7 6

Batteries—Muckler and Brennan; Mulhrie, Pirie and Nopper.

The league standing is as follows:

Won. Lost. P.C.

St. Peter's 11 0 1.000

Rockets 5 6 .455

Crescents 7 8 .200

THE CITY'S FIRST MAYOR

Oil Painting of Late Murray Anderson to Adorn Council Chamber.

Mr. J. H. Flock, K.C., of this city, has presented the city with a splendid oil painting of the late Murray Anderson, who was the first mayor elected in London after its incorporation in 1855. The picture will be hung above that of Mayor Campbell in the city hall, so that the people may be able to see the faces of the two mayors of half a century ago.

ROOSEVELT, JR., IN TEMAGAMI

Young Teddy Got Some New Ideas on Bread Making.

New York, Aug. 7.—The President of the United States last fall sent his son, Theodore Roosevelt, Jr., to the city of Temagami, Ontario, to study the life of the Indians. He came in company with Dr. Bradley, an old and valued friend of the President.

For some months and his splendid father thought that outdoor life and roughing it a bit in New Ontario would be good for him. They took a canoe trip up the lakes with their guides, and remained for some weeks. On their return they found that the people of the city had been a failure owing to their bread making.

Mrs. O'Connor, who was also up in this district with her husband, Dan O'Connor—she of Temagami fame—asked them why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.

It was not until that the book of Mrs. McKinley and asked why they did not do as their grandmothers did before them, viz., make salt-raising bread, but they never heard of it, and even when they were explained to them they were somewhat incredulous. As undeniable evidence that the White House cook book was produced, and the recipe for salt-raising bread was admitted as reliable and not to be disputed.