

dedicated service to the students of this University. We have been most impressed by Robert's fortitude, decisiveness and hard work. Furthermore, we hope that Robert and all others like him will dedicate their lives to the Liberal Party so that we may then enjoy sixteen years of Tory federal governments.

Respectfully yours,
The Campus Right Wing Old Boys

Women's movement needed

The letter of Tuesday, February 28, 1984 by J. Bryant on "lesbian-feminists" filled myself and cohorts with great rage. J. Bryant's paranoid assumptions and archaic attitudes reinforce the need for the women's movement.

The "female-targeted advertisements" mentioned by Bryant are offensive to women and ludicrous in general. They are made by people who have no sense of realism or privacy; notably a group composed primarily of males. I do not detect homosexual tones in these advertisements; rather, stereotypical feminine roles are depicted.

Regarding obvious reference made to Boy George, I view his mode of dress to be a gimic to promote record sales to the masses. Surely, Boy George could not keep himself in lipstick if he only appealed to "lesbian-feminists". George's attire is his medium of self-expression. He is a good example of changes that are occurring in the male population and the desire to be released from the stifling roles established by society.

I do not understand the connection between homosexuality and the desire for equal rights. Homosexuality, anti-male attitudes and controlling reproduction are not ideals of the movement. I believe equality, opposition to oppression and the stopping of pornography that casts women in submissive, victimized roles are good examples of the objectives of the movement. The woman who believes she is being oppressed has every right to dispute it, without having her sexual preferences questioned or judged. If gay feminists threaten the masculine role you have established, then this merely indicates your apparent inferiority. J. Bryant, your attitudes justify the need for sperm banks and the movement all the more.

Could you be any relation to Anita?

Jamie Pyter
Arts I

Get thee hence to a psychiatrist

(Editor's note: Portions in parenthesis inserted by the author. G.B.)

These words are a lament. Therefore the majority of lame democratic eyes are kindly asked to avert from reading them: among the highly numbered clans of not very apocalyptic standard of descensionist sloppists which (sic) have for too long been raling against one of few respectable politicians of Canada's humble bequest, Albertans have developed as the leading pail-carriers. Now that our man is leaving us and taking with him his uncommon attempts at dignified presence, that all Canadians love to scorn, the Albertan's bucket shall overfloweth with dogmatic spite.

Our man is leaving his post. No longer will he be the object for malevolent fed-spitting and I must lament. Some say the tyrannical cat has eaten the canary and is off to relax the poor creature into his bloodstream. And while scientists have already ventured that modern birds differ little from the ancient hesperornis or ichtyornis (Cretaceous period), it nevertheless seems more a case of the neutered cat who couldn't possibly eat the cockatoo and now hopes to be able to paw away the soggy cracker crumbs from behind his pinkish ears.

Dear electators (sic), let us leave well and even not so well alone. And if ours is to be a dictatorship, let us rest easily in the knowledge that it will be a democratic-dictatorship; if anyone becomes unhappy with its results, need only be such a person or personess purchase the newest recordings on everything you'll ever need to say to become a successful politician. I promise dutifully to stand by and hear all that person (ess)'s innovative ideas; once that (person)ess has reached office. Useless constitutions and economic mucks and rahter hypocritical peace missions aside, our man was a fine chap, lovable and hateable all at once, who knew all the right things to say and when to say them. After all, anybody of relevance knows by now that no politician can effect any sort of control over any actually pertinent social matters; no more, let us say, than a traversing moose over a horny school of salmon.

So let us, above all, keep, for one or two days at least, a serious corner cleared in our minds for the latest Canadian sacrificasco-polidiabolico lamb. My farmer's cap is off to you PET! Your parting was well made.

Jean Chartrand
Arts I



The cruise missile is probably being tested today. The big question is why?

I don't care that the cruise is being tested at Cold Lake instead of somewhere else. I want to know why the damn thing was invented in the first place.

The only answer I can come up with is that people don't have anything better to do.

All those little scientists and engineers, working for Lockheed, General Dynamics, Rockwell International, Northrup and Litton Industries are paid to sit at their desks and dream up better ways to kill people.

And all those people on the assembly line are paid to turn out these weapons.

Of course, the generals in the Pentagon and the Kremlin have a vested interest in making sure that more and fancier weapons weapons are built.

After all, the mark of any good government official is his ability to get a larger and larger portion of the budget spent in his department.

Similarly, the White House bureaucrat who backed the cruise is obviously a more successful person than the one who pushed for the B-1 bomber.

The former will probably receive a promotion for his skills at lobbying; the latter will go nowhere.

Politicians know that securing a defense contract for their constituency will provide a few jobs

and pump millions of dollars into the local economy. They also know that military spending is a dead end and completely unproductive.

During the Great Depression, John Maynard Keynes - the most famous economist ever - proposed that men bury bags of money and then dig them up. Not only would this provide work for everyone, but there would be money to stimulate the economy.

The Defense Industry is the post-war answer to this scheme, except that high-tech weapons are very capital intensive: Keynes scheme would actually get a larger proportion of the money spent directly into the economy.

You might as well just give money to people as spend it on weapons - politicians also know this.

So we have thousands of ballistic missiles that can never be fired because the first couple thousand ballistic missiles will have already destroyed the world and we have fighter planes that are so technologically advanced they spend half the time in the shop undergoing repairs to one of their intricate systems.

The above process is what President Dwight D. Eisenhower was talking about when he coined the term "military industrial complex" in a January 1961 speech.

"We have been compelled to create a permanent armaments industry of vast proportion... The total influence - economic, political, even spiritual - is felt in every city, state house, by every official of the federal government," said Eisenhower. "Under the spur of profit potential, powerful lobbies spring up to argue for ever larger munitions expenditures. And the web of special interest grows..."

Bear Country

by Shane Berg



Evergreen Forestry Services Ltd.

will be at the
Canada Employment Office
Students' Union Building

Rooms 426 & 430
on March 8th & 9th
from 9 AM - 4 PM

to receive applications
from individuals who have
attended the earlier information
session on tree planting.

Ali
Katz

THE PLACE IN EDMONTON

10148 - 105 Street Restaurant & Lounge 421-9317

DANCE 7 NIGHTS PER WEEK
Until 3:00 a.m.

Lots of Fun, Friendly Atmosphere.

All male playboy staff

Free Ali Katz Membership Cards
for all U of A Students.

MINERAL ENGINEERING FILM SERIES

Room 2-1 Mech. Eng. Bldg.
12:00 & 1:00

THURS., MAR 8 Gold (Mining)
THURS., MAR. 15 Copper metals (metallurgy)
THURS., MAR. 22 Syncrude (petroleum)

ALL STUDENTS WELCOME!
FREE COFFEE

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA ALUMNI ASSOCIATION MAIMIE S. SIMPSON Memorial Scholarship

(To be presented for the fifth time in
the Spring of 1984)

The scholarship, in the amount of \$1,500 is to be presented to a full-time student who has attended the University of Alberta for at least the two previous years. The basis for selection will be the student's contributions to campus life at the University of Alberta. The student must have a satisfactory academic standing and plan to continue studies at the University of Alberta.

Application forms and further information may be obtained from:
The University of Alberta
Alumni Affairs Office
430 Athabasca Hall
432-3224

Application deadline date is March 30,
1984.