

And the said Judgments and decisions were read and ordered to be entered in the Journals of this House, and are as follow :

NORTH ONTARIO CONTROVERTED ELECTION.

In the Supreme Court of Canada.

[L.S.]

Thursday, the tenth day of June, A.D., 1880.

PRESENT :

The Honorable THE CHIEF JUSTICE,

“ Mr. Justice FOURNIER,
 “ Mr. Justice HENRY,
 “ Mr. Justice TASCHEREAU,
 “ Mr. Justice GWYNNE.

THE DOMINION CONTROVERTED ELECTIONS ACT, 1874.

Election of a Member for the House of Commons of *Canada* for the Electoral District of the North Riding of the County of *Ontario*, holden on the tenth and seventeenth days of September, A.D., 1878.

Between

GEORGE WHEELER,

(Respondent) Appellant.

and

WILLIAM HENRY GIBBS,

(Petitioner) Respondent.

It appearing from the Record in this cause transmitted to this Court by the Clerk of the Court of Queen's Bench for *Ontario* and filed in this Court, on the twenty-fourth day of September, A.D., 1879, that His Lordship Mr. Justice *Armour*, before whom the said Election Petition was tried ordered and determined that the said *George Wheeler* was not duly elected a Member of the House of Commons for the Electoral District of the North Riding of the County of *Ontario*, at the election holden on the said tenth and seventeenth days of September, A.D., 1878, and that the said Election for the said Electoral District was a void Election, and that the said *George Wheeler* was guilty of bribery within sub-section 3 of section 92 of the Dominion Elections Act of 1874. And it further appearing that the said Appellant did appeal to this Court and did by his notice given pursuant to the Statute in that behalf limit his said Appeal to the question of the personal charges against the Appellant and did not appeal from that part of the said judgment finding that the said Election was a void Election.

And the said Appeal coming on to be heard before this Court, on the twenty-second day of March last past, in presence of Counsel as well for the Appellant as for the Respondent, whereupon and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid, this Court was pleased to direct that the said Appeal should stand over for judgment, and the same having come on this day for judgment, this Court did order and adjudge that the said Appeal should be and the same was allowed, and that the said finding of His Lordship Mr. Justice *Armour*, in so far as the same was appealed from to this Court as aforesaid should be and the same was reversed with costs of the said appeal to this Court to be paid by the said Respondent to the said Appellant.