

Point of Order—Mr. Deans

honoured formula. The matter was considered, argued last night, argued again today, and the Chair is of the same opinion as it was last night, that when the incumbent of the chair said, as he did very explicitly, "The motion is defeated, I declare the motion lost", in the opinion of the Chair every requirement relating to a vote, every single one, had been observed. In the opinion of the Chair at that point the motion was lost.

Mr. Waddell: Point of order, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mr. Waddell) has a point of order.

Mr. Waddell: I rise on a related point of order, Mr. Speaker. I am not challenging your ruling, but, I want to ensure a precedent not be created. In fact, last night, if you continue reading *Hansard*, on page 1029 the Hon. Member for Yukon (Mr. Nielsen)—

Mr. Speaker: Order. The Hon. Member is not going to be permitted at this point to debate again a matter which was raised last night, raised by his House Leader for over 30 minutes today, and decided. The Hon. Member gave notice to the Chair of a matter of privilege he wanted to raise. He will be recognized on the matter of privilege to which he gave notice to the Chair.

Mr. Waddell: On a point of order, I seek clarification, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: There is no clarification. The matter has been decided. Will the Hon. Member deal with his point of privilege?

Mr. Waddell: Mr. Speaker, I seek to raise a related point of order which was not raised by the Hon. Member.

Mr. Speaker: The process of embarrassing the Chair, by attempts to reflect on a decision of the Chair, under the guise of points of order relating to matters which have been decided, is something which Hon. Members will have to abandon. It reflects on the dignity of this place. It leads to a considerable amount of time being wasted in this institution. In the opinion of the Chair, if the Hon. Member wishes to deal with his point of privilege, he will be recognized. He will not be recognized to deal with the matter which were decided last night and on which I have made supplementary observations today.

Mr. Waddell: This is separate point of order, Mr. Speaker, and I must insist on you at least hearing me out. I will try to put it very briefly. I do not mean to degrade the Chair—

Mr. Speaker: Does it relate to the proceedings of this House at the time of the division last night in Private Members' Hour? Does it relate to that matter?

Mr. Waddell: Yes, it does.

Mr. Speaker: Then the Chair is not prepared to hear further points of order on the matter.

Mr. Keeper: Point of order.

Mr. Speaker: If the Hon. Member has a point of order which does not relate to a decision of the Chair, it will be heard. If the Hon. Member is seeking to reflect on a decision of the Chair, he will not be heard. The Hon. Member for Winnipeg-St. James (Mr. Keeper).

Mr. Keeper: Mr. Speaker, I seek the unanimous consent of the House for leave to move the following motion: that the House proceed to Private Members' Hour to debate Bill C-203, an Act to declare—

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member cannot rise on a point of order to make such a motion.

Mr. Waddell: Point of order.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member for Vancouver-Kingsway.

Mr. Waddell: Will the Chair be prepared to hear me on my point of privilege arising from the Question Period?

Mr. Speaker: The Chair attempted three times to give the Hon. Member the opportunity to speak, which he did not choose to take. He will be recognized for his point of privilege.

Mr. Waddell: I just wondered if the Chair is prepared to recognize me, not to denigrate me.

Some Hon. Members: Shame!

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member's track record will speak for itself.

* * *

● (1240)

PRIVILEGE

MR. WADDELL—ANSWER OF MR. LALONDE DURING QUESTION PERIOD ALLEGED FALSE

Mr. Ian Waddell (Vancouver-Kingsway): Mr. Speaker, I wish to raise a question of privilege arising out of Question Period. I asked the Minister of Finance (Mr. Lalonde) about youth unemployment and I believe he misled the House, I do not think intentionally, in his reply. He is not in the House but I would like to give him an opportunity to correct the record when he returns.

My point was simply that youth unemployment had gone up. In fact, it has increased in real terms by 39,000 people over December, 1983. In real terms the unemployment rate among youths aged 15 to 24 has increased from 18.4 per cent in December, 1983, to 20.4 per cent in January, 1984. I put that in general terms to the Minister, not those specific figures.

Mr. Speaker: With all due respect to the Hon. Member, he is rising on a question of privilege. Would he please give a general indication of how his privileges as a Member are affected. From what the Chair has heard so far, it appears to