

And on the motion of Mr. Saltzman, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), in amendment to the said proposed amendment,—That the amendment be amended by changing the period at the end thereof to a comma, and by adding immediately thereafter the following words:

“it being the view of this House that a substantial reduction in personal income tax on low and middle incomes should replace the tax cuts and other hand-outs to corporations.”

And debate continuing;

By unanimous consent, the House reverted to “Presenting Reports from Standing and Special Committees”.

Mr. Forget, from the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs, presented the First Report of the said Committee, which is as follows:

Pursuant to its Order of Reference of Friday, May 12, 1972, your Committee has considered Bill C-207, An Act to amend the Old Age Security Act, and has agreed to report it without amendment.

A copy of the Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence relating to this Bill (*Issue No. 5*) is tabled.

(*The Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence accompanying the said Report recorded as Appendix No. 14 to the Journals*).

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. Benson,—That this House approves in general the budgetary policy of the Government.

And on the motion of Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West), seconded by Mr. Bell, in amendment thereto,—That all the words after “That” be deleted and the following substituted therefor:

“while acknowledging certain beneficial provisions in the budget proposals, this House regrets the failure of the government to bring forth effective measures to relieve unemployment, to provide incentive for Canadian investment in Canadian development or to propose personal tax relief for stimulation of the economy.”

And on the motion of Mr. Saltzman, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), in amendment to the said proposed amendment,—That the amendment be amended by changing the period at the end thereof to a comma, and by adding immediately thereafter the following words:

“it being the view of this House that a substantial reduction in personal income tax on low and middle incomes should replace the tax cuts and other hand-outs to corporations.”

After further debate, at 9.45 o'clock p.m., Mr. Speaker interrupted the debate pursuant to Standing Order 60(6) and the question being put on the said proposed amendment to the amendment, it was negatived on the following division:

(Division No. 18)

YEAS

Messrs.

Barnett,	Douglas,	Howard (Skeena),	Lewis,	Rondeau,
Beaudoin,	Fortin,	Knight,	MacInnis (Mrs.),	Rowland,
Benjamin,	Gauthier,	Knowles (Winnipeg	Matte,	Saltzman,
Blackburn,	Gilbert,	North Centre),	Nystrom,	Skoberg,
Brewin,	Gleave,	Lambert	Orlikow,	Thomson
Broadbent,	Godin,	(Bellechasse),	Peters,	(Battleford-
Burton,	Harding,	Laprise,	Rodrigue,	Kindersley)—31.

NAYS

Messrs.

Aiken,	Blouin,	Comtois,	Dinsdale,	Gendron,
Alexander,	Borrie,	Corbin,	Drury,	Gervais,
Alkenbrack,	Boulanger,	Corriveau,	Dubé,	Gillespie,
Andras,	Breau,	Côté (Longueuil),	Dupras,	Goyer,
Baldwin,	Buchanan,	Crossman,	Duquet,	Gray,
Barrett,	Caccia,	Crouse,	Fairweather,	Guay
Basford,	Cadieu,	Cullen,	Faulkner,	(St. Boniface),
Bécharde,	Cantin,	Cyr,	Flemming,	Guay (Lévis),
Beer,	Chappell,	Davis,	Forest,	Guilbault,
Bell,	Chrétien,	Deachman,	Forget,	Harkness,
Benson,	Clermont,	Deakon,	Forrestall,	Hees,
Bigg,	Coates,	Diefenbaker,	Foster,	Hellyer,