

By region, differences exist as follows:

- \* In British Columbia - on the whole, attitudes in B.C. tend to reflect those of the country as a whole with regard to how important each of the various issues presented are perceived as being. When differences exist, it tends to be that British Columbians believe that the issues are somewhat less important than the country overall, as is the case with:
  - offering ideas for controlling non-nuclear arms
  - making respect for human rights a condition of our aid to developing countries
  - promoting and defending human rights in other countries
  - promoting solutions to conflicts in developing countries
  - efforts to reduce the international debt of countries
  - providing aid to developing countries
  - imposing restrictions on importation of foreign goods such as Japanese automobiles
  
- \* In Prairie Provinces - Prairie residents' viewpoint regarding the importance of various foreign policy subjects replicates the attitudes of the country as a whole. The one exception to this is that they are less likely to feel that it is important to criticize the U.S. when we think it is doing something wrong.
  
- \* In Ontario - two issues are seen as more important by Ontarians than by those in other parts of Canada:
  - dealing with the United States on the acid rain issue
  - promoting solutions to conflicts in developing countries
  
- \* In Quebec - Quebecers see three issues as more important than do those in other parts of the country:
  - participation in UN peacekeeping efforts
  - promoting solutions to conflicts in developing countries
  - promotion of international development and understanding through the family of french speaking nations.