

regulations which apply to Tan Son Nhut airbase, where the DRVN/PRG reps have their hqs. To avoid possible incidents, as well as to be sure that the DRVN/PRG del's activities are restr to their duties as members of the CJMC, the RVN keep them in a well-guarded compound. The Commission has asked the RVN to take steps to ease formalities for ICCS members wishing to visit the JMC compound. . . . Lack of passports or identity cards was often the cause of delay or refusal in gaining access to the DRVN/PRG compound.

9. Liaison between the FPJMC and the ICCS (Art10 of the Protocol).

The ICCS as whole has met with the Central Joint Military Commission on only two occasions, at the number two level over tea and cakes on Jan31 and at the head of del level at a formal mtg on Feb4. At regional hqs a similar pattern of attempting to establish official contact first has been followed. The Commission is not/not officially kept informed of the work of the Central Joint Military Commission except for specific cases through exchanges of lets. Each del has had to rely on its own contacts to keep informed of the work of the Joint Military Commission. . . . After the departure of the USA del we will have to rely on the RVN del with which we do not/not yet have as close contact as have the Poles and Hungarians with the PRG. A Four-Party JMC is operating in only one of the seven regions (region IV). In regions I and II, the DRVN has temporarily withdrawn their pers from the JMC's on the grounds that their security was not/not being adequately ensured by the RVN. In regions I, II and III, the PRG has never been represented. In regions V, VI and VII, the PRG and DRVN are not/not represented at head of del level. The absence of functioning Joint Military Commissions at the regional level has significantly hindered the activities of the ICCS regional teams.

10. Advance notice of proposed actions by the Parties.

Under Art10(E) of the Protocol concerning the ICCS, the Commission is to receive from the parties "reasonable" advance notice of all proposed actions concerning those provisions of the Agreement within the Commissions' terms of ref. To date, the Commission has received advance notice of prisoner exchanges, some JMC deployments, and from the USA del troop withdrawals. This advance notice has not/not, in our view, been "reasonable" in all cases. The Commission has received no/no advance notice of other actions related to the provisions within its terms of ref. This is in large part a reflection of the fact that the Parties have not/not yet been able to agree on many basic issues, including demarcation of areas of control, and corridors. The willingness of all Parties to provide the advance notice required under Art10(E) has therefore not/not yet been fully tested.

11. Freedom of movement of ICCS and its teams (Art10 of the Protocol).

In areas under the control of the RVN, no/no barriers have been raised to freedom of movement which has been limited only by the Commission itself and has