

resulting vote, resist the conviction that the combination which I have called an "Inner Circle" does both exist and prevail.

He says I have always opposed the Medical Council, and aim at its destruction. He is here also deliberately and intentionally wrong. He knows that I was a strong advocate of the Parker Act, and that my action in favor of the creation of a Medical Council and a Central Board of Examiners gave rise to strained and unpleasant relations between me and the Dean of my Faculty, which persisted through many months. And he knows that my efforts now are directed not against the Medical Council, but against the *machine* which it incloses, and which is worked to thwart every honest effort to give the profession its right and reasonable voice in the conduct of its own affairs.

With regard to Dr. Williams personally, I have now said all I care or want to say. I have discussed him, strictly, in his public relations, and have cheerfully borne witness to his many good qualities. I regret having been compelled to show him to be untruthful in debate and dishonest in aim, so far as Council matters are concerned. With your permission I will now return to the discussion of matters of more importance and of a less personal nature. This especially in view of the fact that my last two letters seem to have excited your esteemed correspondent almost to the point of phrensy. Whole paragraphs of his last efforts show an incoherency and want of balance which are ominous of future trouble if he be at present further pressed. And as, in my future letters, I cannot always avoid playful allusions to his use of means "*calculated* to win confidence," it would, perhaps be wise on the part of his friends—those whom he himself regards as his friends—to quietly restrain him from writing his replies in that particular phase of the moon in which he appears to have penned his correspondence for the April REVIEW.

Yours truly,

JOHN H. SANGSTER.

Port Perry, April 28th.