Down to that period, llecting the voluntary applying them sevelled on to give any This was unsuitable power, intolerant of peculiar views, and bject to his dominag the Clergy, as well ciety, must be abolw "Plan," whereby nt for the amount of de administration of covered that the ininciples had created aity, and it was narilling to contribute, ose Clergymen who ions, and hence the s of the Church to l to pay the tax.

stances of more reciples laid down by,
the Rev. Mr. White,
150 miles from St.
these discretion than
control of the Church,
arious members of
that they did not
the funds of the
tion by Mr. Collett,
the Mr. Collett,

England in Newff the Charges as
White in refusing
f, for non-payment
y, at St. John's,
I unscriptural sys.
the Church being

t unscriptural systhe Church being at a "toll bar was event the entrance at the whole matter before the Public, and appealed to the members of his Church, both here and in the parent Country, adducing further proofs of repeated refusals of the Sacraments of the Church to the Laity.

Of course, Mr. Collett has been visited with a full measure of odium theologicum. The base slander—the unworthy detraction—the malicious whisper—and the false aspersion—have not been vanting to attack his character. Aye, and they have been applied too by those, whose professed duties should have taught them better—by those who should make their practice better agree with their precepts—and who, while they have the name of charity so frequently on their lips, ought, by their conduct, to afford evidence that they possess its substance in their hearts.

That some persons have followed in the track of their conscience-keepers, is not to be wondered at. The weak minded and the lukewarn, in matters of religion, are prone to shelter themselves under the ægis of authority. Some who know better, think it respectable to be allied to High Church principles, and to follow in the wake of High Church Dignitaries, whatever their course may be; and all these are ready to echo the voices of their leaders, and cry, Wolf, at an honest watch-dog, if he sound his wakeful voice, to warn the Fold of its danger.

The charges contained in the Pamphlet, having the proofs adduced in their support, may be briefly comprised as under: 1stand2d—Refusal of Mr. White to Baptize Richard Collett and Samuel Masters' infants. 3d—Refusal of Mr. White to Baptize Kirby's child. 4th—Refusal of Mr. White to administer the Sacraments to Mrs Kirby, previous to her death, without particular confession of her sins! 5th—Refusal of Mr. White to Baptize George Ingram's child, (page 10) until Ingram engaged to pay a quintal of fish, and until he worked in the grounds of Mr. White, to the value of five shiftings. 6th—Refusal of Mr. White to allow Mr. Collett, and four members of his family, to receive the Sacrament. 7th—That Mr. White had two new mould candles placed over the Communion Table, and none in the other parts of the Church.

In addition to these charges, of which the proofs were adduced, there were other charges as to Tractarian forms and emblems, lately introduced into various Churches in this Dioceae, which are not denied, but can be proved if necessary.