

BLOOD & THUNDER

Letters to the Editor reflect the views of our readers and not necessarily those of the Brunswickian. Letters may be sent to Rm. 35, Student Union Building. Deadline: 5 p.m. Tuesdays. Maximum length: 300 words.

An open letter to anyone who didn't vote, doesn't fear or supports the Confederation of Regions Party:

First, they took away the rights francophones took over two hundred years to get, but I wasn't a francophone, so I didn't speak out. Then they took away the rights native peoples took over four hundred years to get. But I wasn't a native person, so I didn't speak out. Then they took away the rights homosexuals took hundreds of years to get. But I wasn't a homosexual, so I didn't speak out. Then they took away the rights women took thousands of years to get. But I wasn't a woman, so I didn't speak out. Then they took away my rights. Rights I thought could never be taken away. Rights I took for granted. Rights that could take hundreds of thousands of years to get again. But by this time there was no one left to speak out for me.

If you can live with yourself after helping to erode the gains of recognition that countless peoples have fought for in a white, male, heterosexual, anglo-saxon, physically-able, patriarchal (sic) society, then I can feel nothing but fear from you and pity for you.

Nick Oliver

P.S. - Al Carter and I may not agree on many things but I think we fear the same thing here and not so much for ourselves but for those who are different from us.

The following editorial reflects my own personal opinions and not those of any other group or person, that I am aware of. I find that the column that appears weekly in the Brunswickian (sic), "The Wimmin's Room", is not as useful as it could be. First of all, I am not some male chauvinist who wants everything to stay the same. On the contrary, I am all for equal rights and I agree with the content of the column more often than not. Coming from The University of Western Ontario, it is refreshing to see space given in the school paper to such an important issue. In last week's column, October 4, the topic of language was discussed and how people would react to an 'herstorical' point of view. I found it very interesting and I think that the point was well taken, but at the same time I find it difficult to accept this column for a simple reason. I keep reading about rights and changes, but nowhere do I read

about responsibility. by this, I do not mean finger-pointing or proselytizing. By this, I mean the first step towards equality must be responsibility and that is why I find this column difficult to accept. No one takes responsibility for the column. With the exception of 'Legal Ease', all other articles in the 'Spectrum' part of the paper have author names attached to them. I question the beliefs of the writer of the column, because she/he is unwilling to acknowledge the views as his/her own and I question if she/he is ready to stand fully behind those views. It seems that one possible answer is that the writer of the column enjoys the forum to vent opinion, but is not strong enough to act upon the opinions voiced. The writer seems to be hiding from the responsibility inherent in voicing views that are challenging or radical, behind an anonymous column name. It is easy to stand off in a corner and yell at the action in the centre of the room, but if you are unwilling to step into the centre of the room, then your opinions stay in the corner. Ignored. It is high time that the issue of equality was raised in a popular public forum and I see 'The Wimmin's Room' as a useful method of bring (sic) these issues to the public. So, I urge the writer of the column to step forward and make a stronger statement and make a stronger statement by adding the strength of personal commitment to the views that are voiced and identify his/herself. Only through this type of act of courage can we hope to effect the changes that 'The Wimmin's room' calls for.

Greg Doran, MA I

Did You Say You Could Do Better?

Reference to article from the Brunswickian Sept. 20/91 To Brigitte Menard & Pamela Pike:

Well, I have decided to extend to both of you, and all other bitter people in your clan, a sincere form of flattery. Yes, a return letter to an article you wrote, which was no more than

a waste of your "precious" time.

Let us begin! you believe or claim that this year's Activity Day was a flop. No kidding Sherlock - there were problems. Was it a flop? No. All goals were met and the turnout was impressive. Your complaints are probably due to your lack of ability to advise us in appropriate time of your desire to participate and have the event designed in your own image. Before explaining (which I don't have to do - you can thank me later) what went wrong a negative word in our prized paper without having made your feelings known in person. If we had told you something unsatisfactory...write the letter. That would have helped you to avoid looking immature and incompetent. So, here is the story.

(1) Activity Day was the best organized day yet. No doubt. I have organized lots of such events without any fault whatsoever. The first letter advising clubs, societies, etc of an upcoming Activity Day was April. That is right Brigitte, May! This first letter was followed by a photocopy to every Tom, Dick, and Harry. Aiesec and the African Student Society returned confirmation of their participation within a week. They, in their letters, agreed to the original dates, Wednesday and Thursday. These dates were decided upon last Christmas to give students more time to get settled in.

(2) All those who were confused about the mix up with the dates were called by phone. I, myself explained that others had committed an error on paper by publishing the dates incorrectly. All were notified no problems.

(3) Now who gets the ballroom, who get the lounge. This was made clear - first come first serve. No exceptions. It didn't matter who was where. If you are a stu-

dent looking for a particular society - you'll find it. Exposure to life off campus was our focus this year.

(4) If you asked for a certain number of tables, they were reserved for you. Now, I am going to stop here because I feel that I am planning it all over again. Do you want a course?

Here is what happened (in a nutshell). The participants ruined it for themselves. The maps on the doors indicating who had what table, were ripped down by someone. All tables had posters on it reading this table is for "Aiesec"

only. Those who participated took it upon themselves to switch tables. picky Picky. Two groups who never showed interest decided that morning, they would take someone else's table. So, I have to run around and fix things. Promotions.

How much promoting do you want done \$210.00 in photocopying and announcements. Maybe you wanted us to put it on CNN. Give me a break! If you can do better...do it. We don't have time for garbage like this.

Shawn M. Lemay, UNB Alumni

Media Bowl XXII

Saturday Oct 19

2:00pm

The Bruns Barbarians

Vs.

The CHSR-FM Bunnies of Death

Don't Forget
the Bandaid

We're coming for you Keegeley
and This Time It's Personal

P.S. Eat Turf



PIZZA TWICE

2 GREAT PIZZAS
1 LOW PRICE!

CHECK YOUR FROSH PACK
FOR OUR COUPON

DARE TO COMPARE

2 LG. (16") PIZZAS, with the WORKS ONLY \$20.99 + TAX

ground beef, pepperoni, bacon, green peppers, mushrooms, cheese, tomato sauce.

Try Our Garlic Fingers... NOW With Dipping Sauce!

403 REGENT STREET 457-9292

MAIN STREET (NORTHSIDE) 453-0099

gem photo
Fredericton Mall

Photofinishing Excellence
Kodak ColorSwitch System

Same Day On Site Processing

Kodak Products For The Professional & Amateur

Complete Camera Line Including PENTAX

Chestnut PUB
WELCOME VISITORS

BACK TO College

- Lunch Menu
- Saturday Breakfast
- Games Room
- Dancing
- Two Big Screen TVs
- Sports & Videos

"Meet Your Friends at the CHESTNUT PUB"