

Mr. DONAGHY: We are not asking that: the Clerk of the Court should be required to produce this record, and we should wait in our examination until it is produced, so that we will have an opportunity of examining it.

Hon. Mr. STEVENS: I am not going to wait.

Mr. DONAGHY: I think the Committee should rule that you do. Then you will not be restricted in any way in an examination of this witness, and we will be enabled to have a proper view of it.

Hon. Mr. BENNETT: I think, Mr. Chairman, it is proper to ask the witness his impression with respect to the evidence, without being required to produce it, but if he desires to discuss the evidence itself, it should be produced. This question was simply asking the witness what his impression was after reading the record that he had obtained from Mr. Clerk, or Mr. Sparks. I think it is permissible, up to the present, but I do not think we should get into a discussion on the testimony unless it is produced.

Mr. ELLIOTT: Mr. Chairman, he has asked that question.

Hon. Mr. BENNETT: He is asking him again.

Hon. Mr. STEVENS: Mr. Chairman, am I permitted to go on with this examination?

The CHAIRMAN: First, Mr. Stevens, I must say a word in regard to that record. You asked about the Symons case, the Quebec Liquor case, which went on before the Quebec Court of Sessions. There was no formal motion that this record be brought here.

Hon. Mr. STEVENS: The Minister promised in the House to bring it.

Mr. DONAGHY: I move that an order issue, either by summons or subpoena duces tecum to the proper officer of the Quebec Court to produce the record.

Mr. BELL: What is the matter with Mr. Farrow producing what he has in his office?

Hon. Mr. BOIVIN: It is not complete.

The WITNESS: It is not a complete file.

Mr. DONAGHY: I move accordingly, and then we will have the copy here. The Clerk can take the usual procedure to provide the copy.

Hon. Mr. BOIVIN: You remember I brought the matter up and suggested that an order be made.

Mr. DONAGHY: Let us have the motion now.

The CHAIRMAN: Does Mr. Donaghy's motion carry?

Motion agreed to.

*By Hon. Mr. Stevens:*

Q. In regard to this case to which reference has been made, when did you first hear of this evidence that Mr. Bisailon had put funds in his own bank account which really belonged to the revenue of the country?—A. I saw it in the press.

Q. You saw it in the press?—A. Yes.

Q. Were you informed of it by the Minister?—A. I would not say so.

Q. Did you have any consultation with the Minister about it, the day after the trial, or a day or two after?—A. When was the trial?

Q. The trial was on the 13th of February, 1925?—A. I am not sure.

Q. You are not sure?—A. No.

Q. Immediately after seeing this in the press—you say you are not sure of the other—did you make an investigation?—A. Yes.