Supply

# ty for both the consultation and for the decision which was

#### CBC-WAYNE AND SHUSTER SHOW

# Ouestion No. 4,763-Mr. Herbert:

What percentage of the cost of the CBC Wayne & Shuster Show is recovered by advertising?

Hon. Francis Fox (Minister of Communications): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows:

In the period October, 1982 to March 31, 1983, 31.4 per cent of the cost of the CBC Wayne and Shuster Show was recovered through advertising.

## PERSONS RELEASED FROM CANADIAN ARMED FORCES

#### Ouestion No. 4,797—Mr. Howie:

- 1. In 1982, how many servicemen and women were released from the Canadian Armed Forces?
- 2. For each year from 1975 to 1982, how many servicemen and women sought voluntary release from the Canadian Armed Forces?

# Hon. J. Gilles Lamontagne (Minister of National Defence): The figures in part 1 of the answer mean total releases of servicemen and women for any reason whatsoever, i.e. retirement, medical, voluntary (on request), unsuitability for further employment, etc. Part 2 of the answer identifies only the number of servicemen and women who on their own request sought release from the Canadian Armed Forces.

### 1. Servicemen, 6,741, servicewomen, 734.

2.	Year	Servicemen	Servicewomen
	1975	6,364	373
	1976	5,317	388
	1977	5,432	445
	1978	4,884	404
	1979	5,910	432
	1980	6.076	505
	1981	5,367	497
	1982	3.392	436

#### [English]

Mr. Smith: I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions stand?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

# **GOVERNMENT ORDERS**

[English]

# **BUSINESS OF SUPPLY**

ALLOTTED DAY, S.O. 62—SPECIAL RECOVERY PROGRAM— FUNDING IN ATLANTIC CANADA

### Mr. J. M. Forrestall (Dartmouth-Halifax East) moved:

That this House recognizes the need for long-term solutions to the economic difficulties facing Atlantic Canada and, although welcoming the injection of funds provided under the Special Recovery Program, rejects the manner in which those funds are being allocated, particularly the decision to use partisan advantage rather than need as the basis for the provision of funding, and condemns the Government for its failure to adopt policies which would create lasting economic growth with permanent jobs and investment in Atlantic Canada.

He said: Mr. Speaker, my motion having been seconded by the Hon. Member for Calgary West (Mr. Hawkes), who is from western Canada, I did not really want the final phase of today's motion to stand in reflection of my colleagues from western Canada, although, God knows, they need almost as much help as we do.

The concerns that bring us here this afternoon are legendary and historic. They have cried out for help from this Government for many years. Now that we have a golden opportunity with respect to accelerated recovery of the national economy, most of us in Atlantic Canada thought something permanent and good might happen, that perhaps at last we might be able to tackle, in a long-term way, the problems facing our imbalance in agriculture, our deficit with our neighbouring Provinces with respect to meat, feed grains and other things. We did not see that. With respect to the fisheries, we looked forward to the Kirby Report. We looked with anticipation to funds under the Special Recovery Program to assist in bringing order out of the chaos which is there and to provide permanent, long-term jobs. Did we get it? No, we did not.

In the area of transport, in the eastern Canadian Provinces which, by definition, mean parts of eastern Quebec and the four Atlantic Provinces, in transportation requirement alone, \$1.5 billion would not even begin to scratch the surface. We need highway strengthening. We must do something about rail transportation in Newfoundland. We must improve our Gulf ferry services to Prince Edward Island. We have taken some meaningful measures in the last two or three years, but the point is that we are running way behind in ferry fleet renewal.

Where we stand today, we should have been 10 or 12 years ago. In the fisheries, for example, there is an additional \$140 million. I want to tell the House what concerns me when I speak of mid- and long-term assistance. We have heard all kinds of evidence from professional fishermen, their unions and associations, about the way in which the Minister designated to head the special ministerial grouping under this recovery program has handled matters. We heard from those fishermen suggestions as to how and what long-term projects could be put into place which would provide permanent jobs, provide the markets, provide the acceleration of this very critical and very vital industry in Atlantic Canada. Instead, what did we get?