

## Questions

per capita of the 15 to 19 age group (1961 census) less the federal government's previous contributions paid under the Technical and Vocational Training Assistance Act for capital expenditures.

3. To continue grants on the above formula and also to provide loans to provinces wishing to use the money to construct or purchase capital facilities for providing occupational training to adults. The appropriate part of the amortization of principal and interest on these loans may be included in the cost of occupational training courses purchased by the department.

4. Yes, a number of studies.

5. Estimates have not yet been approved.

6. Each province submits claims against expenditures incurred. The federal capital grants were:

Province	Fiscal Year	
	1965-66	1966-67
Nfld.	\$ 327,381	\$ 192,056
P.E.I.	66,994	17,948
N.S.	547,101	2,337,672
N.B.	303,496	768,317
Que.	42,125,972	50,083,409
Ont.	31,397,733	44,289,911
Man.	1,571,205	5,287,979
Sask.	995,673	4,152,789
Alta.	11,282,807	16,649,175
B.C.	15,278,400	12,180,356
Yukon	192,784	96,758
N.W.T.	—	—
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	\$104,089,546	\$136,056,370

[English]

APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN, TRANSPORT COMMISSION

Question No. 481—**Mr. Crouse:**

1. Was there an advertisement placed in any newspaper or any other medium for the position as chairman of the Canadian Transport Commission?

2. If so (a) what were the qualifications set out in such an advertisement (b) how many applications were received (c) what was the result of the considerations of such applications (d) if no advertisement was issued calling for applications, under what arrangement was the position filled?

3. Did the successful appointee have any role in establishing this commission?

4. Did the Minister of Transport, at the time the decision for appointment was made, examine the list of applicants, or in any other manner assist in the determination of the final selection?

[Mr. Munro.]

**Mr. John R. Matheson (Parliamentary Secretary to Prime Minister):** 1. No.

2. (a), (b) and (c) Not applicable. (d) The president was appointed by governor in council in accordance with the National Transportation Act, statutes of Canada, 1966-67, Chapter 69.

3. Not applicable since the commission was established by act of parliament.

4. Not applicable in view of the answer in 2(d) above.

CONSIDERATION OF REPORT ON PENSION COMMISSION

Question No. 483—**Mr. McIntosh:**

1. With reference to the statement of the Minister of Veterans Affairs, as reported at page 2463 of *Hansard*, September 25, 1967, is it the intention of the minister to give the Canadian Pension Commission, which is the subject of the Wood commission inquiry, an opportunity to deal with provisions of the report before the report is tabled in the House of Commons?

2. If so (a) will such action permit the Canadian Pension Commission to exercise opposition to provisions of the report (b) would the minister give consideration to having the advance study referred to in his remarks of September 25 carried out by members of his staff not connected with the Canadian Pension Commission?

3. In the absence of the present deputy minister of veterans affairs on leave from the department, would the minister advise the house the names of departmental personnel he has selected to carry out the above study?

**Hon. Roger Teillet (Minister of Veterans Affairs):** 1. It is my intention at the moment to submit the report for study by the commission and the department at an early date.

2. (a) Yes. I will be interested in the comments of officers of the commission as well as those of the department. (b) Members of the staff not connected with the Canadian Pension Commission will be asked to study the report.

3. I do not know the names of all the persons who will be asked to study the report, but it is my intention to ask the acting deputy minister and the chairman of the Canadian Pension Commission to do so, and I have no doubt they will ask members of their respective staffs to assist in this work.

INVESTIGATION INTO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

Question No. 487—**Mr. Schreyer:**

1. Were any formal investigation conducted by the Department of National Defence or any other agency of government relative to the reported