the enhancement of the CSCE process, including the Conflict Prevention Centre, and mechanisms for conflict prevention and crisis management.⁷

Speaking to a conference held by the Defence Associations of Canada, Brigadier General B.A. Goetze, Director General for International Policy Operations with the Department of National Defence, spoke of the effect of the dissolution of the Soviet Union and other recent changes in the international environment on Canadian arms control policy. Brigadier General Goetze mentioned Canada's concern that the new republics may not be totally committed to the CFE structures and suggested that these structures, initially intended to reduce the military threat, could now play a useful role in encouraging regional stability.⁸

PARLIAMENTARY COMMENT

There has been no parliamentary comment on this issue during the 1991-1992 session.

RECENT LITERATURE

Anonymous. "The CFE Treaty: Missed Opportunity?" Global Affairs, Summer 1991: 1-23.

- Chestnutt, Heather and Michael Slack, eds. Verifying Conventional Force Reductions in Europe: CFE I and Beyond. Toronto: Centre for International and Strategic Studies, 1991.
- DeClerq, David G. Major. The Stockholm Concluding Document and Exercise Observations: An Examination of Confidence and Security Building Measures. Ottawa: Department of National Defence, Directorate of Nuclear and Arms Control Policy, December 1991.
- Dunay, Pal. The CFE Treaty: History, Achievements and Shortcomings. PRIF Reports No. 24. Frankfurt: Peace Research Institute, 1991.

Garrett, James M. "CFE II: A Quest for Stability," Armed Forces & Society, Fall 1991 18, No. 1: 51-80.

Koulik, Sergey, and Richard Kokoski. Verification of the CFE Treaty. Stockholm: SIPRI Research Report, October 1991.

⁷ NATO Communique. 19 December 1991.

⁸ B.Gen. B.A. Goetze, Director General International Policy Operations. "Speaking Notes to the conference of Defence Associations Institute," Ottawa, 23 January 1992: 8-9.