dignity of the Profession is lost sight of. The contest degenerates into a police court squahhle, and recriminations and unfair methods take the place of that dignified state of affairs which should he maintained at all cost. The lawyer must, of course, generally trust his client, and he trusted, and should hring all his energy, indust, y and talent to hear in favour of the client, but he should never forget that the wrong is not against him, and that he can best serve his client's interest hy heing free of the unfortunate condition of mind and heart which affects the client, often to the extent of hlinding his eyes to the real facts.

Perhaps one of the most dangerous eauses at work affecting the reputation of our Profession is the scheming for husiness. In most places particularly where there are large factories, electrie railways and similar undertakings, involving great personal risk, there are always a certain number of lawyers who appear on the scene in company with the amhulance or the eoroner. Men, not lawyers, have to my knowledge heen employed by legal vultures, and have received a commission on bringing in the body dead or alive. Retainers are promptly ohtained, and actions are brought again and again, on purely speculative grounds. Relying on the sympathy of a jury, defendants are put to heavy costs, with no chance of getting a dollar from the plaintiff, and with many chances in favour of a substantial verdiet against them, particularly in actions against large corporations. I have often thought that the most hitter comment on the system of trying such cases is to he found in the Ontario Municipal Act, which in many cases now directs that the trial shall take place before a judge alone. And a still more sweeping condemnation of our practice is the Workmen's Compensation Act just come into force in Ontario, which now deals with a vast hody of eases on the principle of insurance against accidents. If we could have continued the old experience of thirty or forty years ago with lawyers ahove suspicion, the jury system would still remain as it was intended to he-a hulwark against wrong-doing, and a tower of strength in the administration of justice. The soliciting of husiness in the manner I