Have you ever received free issue cogarettes over and above the hormal rate of issue because the unit to which you belong has overestimated its strength or because personnel have departed between the date of indent and the date of issue?

Have you ever received digarettes from more than one unit because of a convenient date of transfer?

If the answer to any of these is "Yes" then the person so replying is guilty of an offence under 18(4). Equally guilty cigarettes are involved.

As to the charge under sec 40. You Gentlemen have all many menths service on the Continent. You know what conditions exist or have existed with respect to "flogging" cigarettes, how open it has often been, how common a practice it was. Can any of us honestly say that we know a man who has not at any time sold or bartered a cigarette. It isn't a question of thousands Gentlemen—it is this one li the package.

A sentence is given for two reasons, as a punishment to the wrongdeer, and as a deterrent to those who might be tempted to de likewise. It is too late in the game to start giving punishment as a deterrent now. During the time that will elepse from today until the sentence of the Court is made public in departed for home. A heavy sentence is not warranted from that respect.

As to its effect on the wrongdoer, before passing judgment I ask that you consider all the widespread effects of whatever punishment you consider fit.

For a a nadian officer to be found guilty of any charge is in itself a serious matter. It is a black mark on his officer it is a much more serious thing than for an O.R. in the N.P.A.M. in 1925 at the age of fifteen. He was a CSM to attend because of illness. In 1937 he was awarded the he could not go everseas with Signals to which he was told that so he enlisted with RCASC as a private. He came overseas in