4 The Brunswickan

# EDITORIAL

### Understanding the Motives - Student Elections -

#### by Kwame Dawes

In this week's edition of the Brunswickan, aspiring student politicians have been given the opportunity to explain why they want to be involved in student government. After we have managed to accept the fact that the selfish, but wholesome motive of beefing up a resume is one of the more common reasons for the ambition of these students, we must contend with the other reasons. These reasons should have everything to do with their perception of their abilities.

I have always had a hard time accepting the basic posture that politicians have to assume in order to win votes. Having been brought up in a tradition that held that leadership is not something to be gained through self-promotion; the very practice of campaigning for student office came as a disquieting reality to me during my undergraduate years. In the British styled high school that I attended, it was obnoxious, crude and simply uncouth to ask people to vote for you. You expressed a willingness to serve and you simply trusted your manifest integrity to do the work for you. If you lost a vote, you accepted the decision of the body politic with stoic good-naturedness, and congratulated the winner even if you though he was a totally inadequate ignoramus.

There were certain advantages to this code of behaviour. Firstly, the bitterness and rancour that often arises when people get involved with open campaigns against each other were avoided entirely. Secondly, students were not forced to deal with the long list of promises that campaign-oriented politicians have come to be characterized by. The expectations of the leader were clear and the student selected was under scrutiny from the word "go". Thirdly, the vote of confidence that was implicit in this process of selection was tremendous. Without any overt attempts at convincing the "electorate" through speeches and canvassing, the student was being selected on the basis of sheer popularity and ability as demonstrated in their regular activities. At school, we took great pride in our leaders and a head-boy (it was an all-boys college) gained a position of prestige that would last his entire life.

The cry of the barbarous multitude and the tumult of the fickle masses at University were for me startling entrees into the world of democracy. Elections were hotly contested events. Posters were glued to walls so they could not be removed by vandals. Those posters would often be still on the walls years later. Only rain and sunlight, or a completely fresh painting job could remove them. For weeks, long, violent debates would be held among the huge roots of the thick ficus berry trees that lined the Arts Courtyard. The political demarcations often duplicated the demarcations of national politics and these political parties would intensify this ideological rivalry by sponsoring candidates and sending strategists on campus to do both covert and overt campaign work. Manifestoes were published and distributed along with photographs of saintly looking students trying to find the smile that immediately translated into the words "Vote Me!".

Election debates were always crowded with students aching to ask tough questions like: "Is it true you beat your girlfriends?"; "How have your marks been this year"; and "How do you spell 'misinformed'? You spelt it wrong in your manifesto." The counter bantering was often carefully orchestrated and was punctuated by loud cheering and hissing from the masses gathered in the building. The entire thing seemed somewhat disturbing to me. It was exciting; tempers flared; brilliant speeches were made; cheering, singing and chanting would permeate the hall; and every promise made by the candidates would be challenged, dissected, and fully scrutinized. As an audience member, you learned to hone your skills in identifying faulty logic and in discovering loopholes in whatever was said.

But the aggression and egomania that this style of politicking brought out often undermined whatever positive qualities that it possessed. Students would vote for personalities; picking men over women because of unenlightened concepts about gender; or omitting to elect otherwise excellent workers who could not boast the skills of public speaking and mass manipulation. The rhetoric of impossible promises, securely married to the more vitriolic rhetoric of "those before us did such a bad job we will just do better"; became the order of the day. Fools, incompetents and self-seeking egocentrics would walk

#### March16, 1990

## The Brunswickan

Canada's oldest official student publication

	Editor-in-chief	Kwame Dawes
	Managing Editor	Melanie R. Hawkes
	Assistant Managing Editor	Lynne Wanyeki
	News Editor	Allan Carter
	Business Manager	Denise Holloway
	Co-Sports Editor.	Tim Lynch
	Co-Sports Editor	Mark Savoie
	Entertainment Editor	Miroslav Wiesner
0	Features Editor	Tim Judah
0	Co-Offest Editor	Steve Seabrook
e	Co-Offset Editor	James Taylor
0	Distractions Editor	Jennifer Duncan
d	Photo Editor	
y	Advertising Manager(	
u	Advertising Design	Tara Froning
e	Graphic Artist	Brian Linkletter
	Orupino / Intist	and the second se

#### **STAFF THIS ISSUE**

Pamela J. Fulton, Geoffrey Brown, Ajac Don, John Cameron, Leslie Robertson, Jason Wheaton, Carla Dawson, Frederick Saint Bernard, Duke, L.W., Tango, Tabitha Bainbridge, Eric Drummie, Paula Dawson, Kevin Ferguson, Wm. Mott Stewart, Alexandra Fremont, Douglas J. Meredith, David K Heckerl, Frank Denis, Victor, Ron Cameron, Paul Campbell, Narof, Deborah Ruth Wilton, Murray Carew, Kelly Craig, Peter Tracey, Glenn Vienneau, Ryna Brideau, Trevor Corey, Jayde Mockler

#### **Typesetters Extraordinare:**

#### Lynne Wanyeki, Diane Imhoff, Josee Guidry, Diana Maitre

The Brunswickan, in its 124th year of publication, is Canada's oldest official student publication. The Brunswickan's offices are located in Rm 35 of the University of New Brunswick's Student Union Building, P.O. Box 4400, College Hill, Fredericton N.B., E3B 5A3. Tel. 453-4983.





#### Pre-Ramble

I have often true. i hate it w I have divided readers will no

#### Things I Hate

1. Going to the bread. Why is t

2. Going to th check. It should with the number

3. Being young insurance. \$184

4. Living in a What is the po Why does God

5. Michael Jack

6. Mornings. I in the morning even seem to l people who can

> 7. Canadian po politics. The m CARES? Anot government we programs, and because you as

8. Going to the

9. Going to the find this especi at the Hilltop a

10. Taxes. Need

11. When it is fairly well mak of my superior his tires. Some presses down h the six people l New Brunswich

12. When Ame trading partner, trade relationsh its easy to take cabins (or igloc no, they don't h

into office on a whim.

After a year on campus, it became clear to me that election campaigns were very questionable events. After a year of seeing student government fail to meet up to any of their promises and hearing the new set of candidates making the same identical promises, it became apparent that this was nothing but a questionable ritual in which people sought power to beef-up that resume and mark out a path for greater political activity in the future. Like many of my fellow students, I became disillusioned and did not vote in my second year.

In my third, and final year as an undergraduate, I discovered that student government was too important to ignore. It was too important an institution to student life to be left to self-seeking political types. So I became an activist. I chose a politician who I thought possessed integrity, skill and a genuine vision for student life. She would be the first woman in over fifteen years, and the second in 30 years to become Guild (Union) President. The campaign was as bitter as ever, but it taught me much about the validity of the process. It taught me, also, that students must demand excellence from their leaders. When someone steps forward to lead; it is those who are led who must make it clear to the leader that they are to serve and not be served. I discovered that the investment of a vote gave the student a right to demand good, reliable and responsible service from the leaders.

If these student who have put their names forward for student office don't know it yet, it is time they realized that their role is primarily as servants of their fellow students. The job is not always rewarding but it is challenging and one that builds character. Perhaps when students begin to make the kind of investment in student politics that is needed, the combined pressure of a large and concerned electorate, as well as the double-edged support and endorsement of those who vote in the winners, will lead to more responsible and less self-serving student governments. The bottom line is that students should vote. No matter what is said about Wayne Carson's government, one thing must be said to their credit: Whether because of fear or appreciation students have seen student government to be worth running for. This year there are thankfully only a few council positions that will go uncontested. I will let the Carson regime have the pleasure of taking credit for this.

The Brunswickan is published by Brunswickan Publishing Inc. and printed with flair by Global Printing & Binding Inc., Fredericton, N.B.

Subscription is \$25 per year. Second class mail is in effect - #8120.

Local Advertising rates are available from The Brunswickan, (506) 453-4983. National advertising rates are available from Youthstream Canada Ltd., 1541 Avenue Road, Suite 203, Toronto, Ont. M5M 3X4, Tel: (416) 787-4911.

Articles printed in The Brunswickan may be freely reproduced provided proper credit is given.



Cleaning m having it messy 14. Leaders of of power they

members of the

15. The local ca to be about thr annoying when for the first thre Roseanne is c simulcasting at

Contrary to p New Brunswick

Miscellaneou

What do those

Why do the inferior to the A country, and we own. I'm tired o

Miscellaneou

X=7

Siagon.

E. All of the a

Post-Ramble

materinities

If anyone de offensive remark permission.