s more perfect sible that the f deposition, ally resulting me molecular

of the nodule silky, transe microscope, ne blow-pipe ude that the

an eighth of a characters asses of the and,) and in The mineral ents; it is icroscope a a; its lustre wish white; ence before

following and it was see from its ne mineral, cl, and that decomposic ond case.

	1.	II.	
Lime,	9.49	10.15	9.82 = 2.80
Magnesia, .	1.83	1.91	$ \begin{array}{l} 9.82 = 2.80 \\ 1.87 = 0.76 \end{array}\} 1 $
Potass,	0.37*	undet	0.37
Alumina, .	12.21	13.11	12.65 = 5.90
Peroxide of Iron,	1.01*	1.27	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 12.65 & 5.90 \\ 1.14 & 0.34 \end{array} \right\} 1.75 $
Silica,	$58 \cdot 13$	57.02	57.57 = 30.50 8.56
Water,	15.96		15.69 = 13.94 3.91
	99.00	98.88	99.21

The loss in the analysis probably proceeds from alkalies not determined, and the ratio between KO, K_2 O₃, SiO₃ and HO, as exhibited, may be taken as 1:2:9:4, which we have in the formula:

3 Ca O Si O₃, 2 (Al₂ O₃, 3 Si O₃) + 12 H O, the percentage of which:

3 Ca O,	•	84.00-11.96
2 Al ₂ O ₃ ,		102.52—14.60
9 Si O ₃ ,		407.70-58.06
12 HO,		108-00—15-3 3
		702.22 100.00

correspond very well with the experimental numbers so far as Si O₃ and HO are concerned, and are tolerably close to the aggregate amounts of isomorphous constituents respectively.

No mineral of the same character and composition having been described, and taking the association into consideration, I look upon this as a new mineral combination, and have named it Cerinite, in allusion to its wax-like appearance. An examination of the formula assigned to the mineral shows it to contain the elements of Edelforsite with those of two equivalents of Stilbite.

^{*} As dissolved by H Cl.