

National Training Act, and I urge all provincial Governments to improve the number and quality of their continuing education and evening courses in high technology related subjects.

\* \* \*

### RAILWAYS

#### CROWSNEST PASS RATE—PROPOSAL TO CHANGE—PAYMENT OF BENEFITS TO RAILWAY COMPANIES

**Mr. Gordon Taylor (Bow River):** Madam Speaker, the Government spent some \$300,000 on the Gilson Commission which heard representations and had detailed comprehensive consultations with all concerned groups in the West. After studying the report, the Minister in a policy statement announced that the "major benefits of this initiative"—that is, sharing the Crow benefit between the railways and the farmers—included an "improved grain transportation system, increased resource development, and economic diversification". A year went by and the legislation still had not come before the House, and then suddenly out of the blue the Minister threw out the entire "Pepin-Gilson" proposals and announced to the press that the total Crow benefit would go to the railways.

The recommendations from the West did not change from what Gilson or the Minister had heard, but the Minister received one more recommendation that did not go to Gilson. It was not from the West, not from the House of Commons, but from the Quebec Liberal caucus. It demanded that the Government pay the total Crow benefit to the railways, and the Minister succumbed. The Minister used reason and the consultative process until the Quebec Liberal caucus spoke, and then out of the window went economic diversification and resource development in the West, out of the window went the tremendous potential of the cattle and hog industry in the West. The objective suddenly became to retain, at all cost, the Liberal power base in Quebec. The Government thinks that western Canadians will continue to be hewers of wood and drawers of water. The West wants only fair play with the rest of Canada.

\* \* \*

### INDUSTRY

#### CLOSING OF AUTOMOBILE PRODUCTS PLANT IN HAMILTON

**Mr. Ian Deans (Hamilton Mountain):** Madam Speaker, we have another plant closing in Hamilton. Each one seems to be worse than the last. This case is Allen Industries, which makes molded insulation for automobiles. It has moved its plant from Hamilton to Richmond, Virginia. The company will sell its product back to Ford at Oakville. This must stop, this uncaring attitude which is displayed by many manufacturers located in Ontario particularly, toward the people who work for them and toward the municipalities that have put so much money into providing an environment which made the plants successful in the first place. We must draw to their attention that

S.O. 21

their responsibility to Canada must come before their opportunity to make \$2 or \$3 more from an already profitable operation.

I suggest that the Government should take a look at the auto pact to determine whether or not they are in violation, in one way or another, of that pact. If they are not, then it should renegotiate the auto pact and ensure that plants operating within the protection of Canadian law operate on behalf of Canadians.

\* \* \*

### NUCLEAR ARMAMENTS

#### SUPPORT FOR TESTING OF CRUISE MISSILE IN CANADA

**Mr. Garnet M. Bloomfield (London-Middlesex):** Madam Speaker, the possible testing of Cruise missiles in Canada has received a great deal of attention lately. I think it is important for Canadians to realize that the federal Government supports NATO and the Alliance. We believe that a credible deterrent is needed to maintain peace with liberty.

A careful analysis of the facts will show that NATO's "two-track" strategy of talk and deploy is the safest route to follow at this time. Unilateral disarmament is a very unwise course to follow, considering present world conditions, and would create a dangerous shift in the international balance of power. If we refuse to allow the testing of the Cruise in Canada by the United States, it will display to the Soviets that the western world is divided, and will further erode the hopes for genuine negotiations on disarmament.

This does not mean that the federal Government is against disarmament. Indeed, I know of nobody in our country who would not favour disarmament. It is the ultimate goal that all mankind should strive to achieve. However, history proves that this cannot be done by offering unilateral concessions. This tends to create imbalances and, at the very least, leads to demands for more unilateral concessions. It is the path toward ultimate catastrophe.

We would do well to remember that compromise and weakness did not prevent the Second World War. It nearly cost the western world its freedom. Canadians as a whole should support the strengthening of the NATO Alliance, recognizing that the best deterrent to war is to be prepared. We should proceed with the United States on the testing of Cruise missiles.

\* \* \*

### INDUSTRY

#### TAXATION OF DOMESTIC WINE PRODUCERS

**Mr. Joe Reid (St. Catharines):** Madam Speaker, with the soaring popularity of table wines on the North American continent, we should contrast the enthusiastic support given by American States to American grape growers and wineries with that given here at home. Most American States exempt