

no way be a question of privilege involved, then I will not allow a new speaker, having looked at the arguments more carefully, reading them rather than just listening to them. Having done that today, I feel I am sufficiently informed to render a decision, but I have put that reservation and I hope the hon. member will accept it.

Mr. Nielsen: With great respect—

Some hon. Members: Order, order!

Mr. Nielsen: I know I have points—

An hon. Member: Sit down, you have not got the floor.

Mr. Collenette: Obey the rules of the House.

Madam Speaker: Order.

Mr. Nielsen: I know I have points bearing on this question to raise which the Chair has not received.

Madam Speaker: Order, please. I am afraid the hon. member is appealing what I just said.

Mr. Nielsen: Not at all.

Madam Speaker: I heard the hon. member when he said that he thought he had further points. I said that I would want to see whether I need to have further points. Therefore I would ask the hon. member please not to ask for the floor again because I will not be able to give it to him on this particular question.

Mr. Nielsen: Then I rise on a question of personal privilege to give you notice today that, should the course of events take the direction that I am gagged on this question of privilege, I will raise a personal question of privilege followed by a substantive motion.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Madam Speaker: That is the right of the hon. member and I take notice of it.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[Translation]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 795, 806, 821, 1,276 and 1,454.

Order Paper Questions

[Text]

IJC—SCA PROPOSAL—DUMPING INTO THE NIAGARA RIVER

Question No. 795—**Mr. de Jong:**

1. Has the IJC participated in the hearings regarding the proposal by SCA Services of New York to dump 2,000,000 gallons of effluent daily into the Niagara river and, if not, for what reasons?

2. Will the government instruct Canada's representatives on the IJC to initiate an investigation into this threat to the environment and, if so, on what date will the investigation be made public?

Hon. Mark MacGuigan (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1. The IJC has not participated directly in these hearings. Rather it has followed their progress closely, in keeping with its usual practices and procedures.

2. The government cannot instruct the Canadian members of the commission to initiate an investigation as the commission is a binational body whose members receive their instructions from both governments. Moreover, the IJC already is taking an interest in the SCA proposal in the context of its role in assisting both governments to implement the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. If the commission had any concerns it could be expected to raise these with the government.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS—CROWN PROPERTIES OUTSIDE CANADA

Question No. 806—**Mr. Clarke:**

1. Did the Department of External Affairs have market value appraisals taken of property owned by the Crown outside Canada for the Department and, if so (a) in which cities (b) what was the appraised value of the (i) land (ii) buildings?

2. What percentage of the property, in each case (a) is used for (i) residential accommodation (ii) office accommodation (b) is held for other purposes and what are such purposes?

Hon. Mark MacGuigan (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1. The Department of External Affairs had market value appraisals taken of the following properties owned by the Crown outside Canada:

- (a) Jakarta —Two staff quarters duplex
Paris —Parly II Apartments (11 units), 4 rue Ventadour—office accommodation
Hong Kong —Viewpoint Apartments (5 units);
- (b) The appraised values were as follows:
Jakarta —Appraised \$124,378—land and buildings—no separate values obtained.
Purchased 1967 \$54,000
Sold \$132,000
Paris —Parly II Apartments (11 units).
Appraised \$1,438,268—units only, no land.
Purchased \$591,000 (1972)
Sold \$1,339,745
—4 rue Ventadour
Appraised \$373,200 (1980)—office only, no land
Combination land component and constructed value