

The Secretary of State is as timid as a hare! Unfortunately he is not in the House.

Recently, when he spoke, he mentioned that the member for Témiscamingue was not in the House. I am here much more often than the Secretary of State! This afternoon, I would have liked him to remain seated after the question period, but unfortunately, he ran away.

I continue to quote:

Third, a demonstration by the Quebec people to show that they endorse the deeds performed by the political prisoners.

These are the main points of the manifesto that we have heard over television, the public radio network and that we have read in the newspapers. What they hope for in Canada is a demonstration by Quebec people to show that they endorse deeds performed by political prisoners such as kidnappings, assassinations, bombings, armed robberies and holdups. The hon. Secretary of State has never expressed his opposition to them, never! Was he giving the FLQ his blessing? I do not know.

Mr. Speaker, there is a limit to be taken in, as we now are by "guys" like the Secretary of State. I keep on reading this article.

The Operation will take place perhaps at the same time in Quebec, and at other times also in Vancouver, Regina and Toronto.

They do intend to undermine not only Quebec but the whole of Canada as well. This is why my colleagues objected yesterday and the day before to the fact that this legislation is aimed mainly at the province of Quebec instead of Canada as a whole.

The Minister of Justice (Mr. Turner) rose yesterday and told us, in order no doubt to reassure my colleagues that, to be more specific, this act will be applied everywhere in Canada, not only in the province of Quebec.

This legislation may be applied by the Attorney General of Ontario, by those of Quebec or of British Columbia, no matter which one. But it will have a national scope. We agree about that. However, we do not want any discrimination nor any special status for Quebec. We want all the provinces of Canada to be treated the same.

I go on with my quotation:

Gagnon also asked the people not to confuse the PQ with revolution.

This means Levesque's party and revolution.

This party's action is but the beginning of liberation.

Gagnon claims that the action undertaken by René Levesque's party is only—

—the beginning of liberation, that it will be necessary to develop a revolutionary spirit.

It is not the leader of the Ralliement who says that, but Charles Gagnon, a friend of René Lévesque, who claims today to be against violence. However, all those who advocate violence are with Lévesque. It is quite paradoxical, but it is true.

*Public Order Act, 1970*

I go on quoting:

Constitutional independence is such only on paper.

Let it be known to those who want to rewrite the constitution, to amend it or move subamendments.

Let it be known to the provincial premiers who meet every year in Ottawa with the Prime Minister of Canada to say that the constitution must be amended.

What will be amended? We don't know; nevertheless, we must amend it. What will we do? What amendments shall we move? Nobody knows, but task forces will be set up in British Columbia, Quebec and Ontario to consider what should be changed in the constitution, when it allows us to be what we are right now.

In my opinion, we should start out by respecting the present constitution before thinking of amending it, without knowing what is to be amended. Let us therefore start by respecting it.

We are quite free to say what we have to say without giving anyone a hard time.

Mr. Vital Barbeau wrote as follows, and I quote:

Constitutional independence is only such on paper and is meaningless if we remain subject to American capital.

That bears some resemblance to NDP theories. NDP members are against it, but their government in Manitoba is now constantly borrowing from the United States. About the Parti québécois, we can read the following:

Even if it is "full of people of good will",

And further on:

—it is sorry that they do not follow through a little more with their ideas. The fact is that the acceptance of the electoral process is the beginning of prostitution, an incurable ailment prevalent among our governments and their politicians.

Our Quebec comrade visited the same day the François-Xavier Garneau College, and later, the Limoilou College, on Thursday, April 16.

Who is our "Quebec comrade"? Charles Gagnon, a leader of the FLQ. That is not all. This little newspaper was distributed all over the Quebec City. I will say to René Levesque who gets in a rage against all those who do to share his views, that I am not the one who accuses him of being violent! I said that he gathered all the terrorists in the province of Quebec and I maintain my statement.

On the last page of the same newspaper, these students, who have the right to vote, state:

Even if the Parti québécois is not all you might want it to be, even if there are climbers and small time politicians in the Parti québécois, even if the independence proposed by the Parti québécois is only a very small step towards the liberation of the people of Quebec, even if we are sometimes disgusted by the Parti québécois—

—they even go as far as that!

—we will nevertheless vote for the Parti québécois.