

the concept of a safe reactor is continuing to be developed in the country. What then? An alternative variant is needed. The Swedish scientists have made an inspection of the resources of the country: hydro-, wind-, and solar energy, and also they have examined the possibility of importing it. They have arrived at the conclusion, that all these sources can cover only about five percent of the energy needed. It is only possible to replace the remainder with coal. (We also, perhaps, have gas. But nevertheless, fossil fuel, in my viewpoint, should be used in chemical production, and it is wasteful to burn gas in the furnaces of electric power stations).

Sweden also does not like electric power stations based on coal, because they give off soot and ash, and they do not want to ruin their environment with pollutants. I also understand, that the problem has not been finally solved. The scientists report their conclusions to the people, and public opinion will decide whether there will be nuclear energy or coal energy. It is necessary to state that solutions have been found to problems created by prolonged burying of radioactive wastes, by this I mean the burying of depleted fuel. In my view, not everything is clear as to what will happen in Sweden in this regard.

Vodnyy Transport

25 February

Page 2