humorous description of one, Mr. Hardy formulated the following propositions. 1. Quackery is more profitable pecuniarily than scientific medicine, but not so profitable mentally or morally. 2. Quackery most readily finds its victims among the highest and lowest social strata, not amongst the more intelligent middle classes; readily also amongst religious peoplehence certain quacks always advertise largely in the (so-called) religious periodicals. Various forms of quackery have, in former times, been intimately connected with the practice of medicine; but they have always impeded its scientific progress. If the members present agreed with him on these three points, they would have no difficulty, he thought, in arriving at the same conclusion that he hadviz.: that it was the bounden duty of every honest practitioner, by every means in his power, to discourage and discountenance all forms of quackery, however profitable or plausible, and whether practised by those within or without the profession. Dr. Dowse said he was somewhat disappointed at not hearing more about the modern forms of quackery. He thought some reference might have been made to the sly advertising which was done by members of the profession. He would like too, to have a definition of a quack. The writer of the paper had been, he thought, too sarcastic with reference to certain forms of It did not matter whether it was homeopathy or mud-baths that cured those who came to medical men. They wanted to be cured; and scientific medicine too often overlooks the most important part of its work -therapeutics. Science did not do much for the treatment of disease. So long as they cured their patients, and did not resort to villany, medical men were perfectly at liberty to resort to any system whatever. Dr. Iliff agreed that the great point was how to cure patients. He thought the bone-setters had taught the profession a great deal. The watercure had been spoken of somewhat contemptuously; but he thought hydropathic establishments were great benefits. Faith had great influence in the treatment of disease. remembered, when a student at Guy's Hospital many years ago, he cupped and bled one

hundred and fifty patients in three simply because it was the fashion at the Mr. Barwell thought quackery rather sisted in the manner in which a thin done, than in the thing itself. Any on deceived another for his own pocket advi was a quack; but the man who believed decillionth of a grain was not a quack, a lunatic. The Chairman, though he con he had not previously paid much attend the subject, was inclined to agree with Barwell as to the definition of a quack was certainly very curious to look back the connection, to which attention had directed in the paper, between astrological alchemy on the one hand, and astronomy chemistry on the other. Mr. Hardy, in said he agreed with the chairman alice Barwell, that a medical man who deceive patient as to his treatment, for his own advantage, was a quack. He could no with Dr. Dowse or Dr. Iliff, that it matter of indifference what system was add so long as the patient was cured. many people were cured who took Holland pills and ointment, but that did not ma any the less quackery. Dame Nature we kind to all. But he believed there was theless, such a thing as scientific treatment disease, founded upon a knowledge of an and physiology; and that all else was qua -British Medical Journal.

Lirths, Marringes, and Death

MARRIAGES.

By the Rev. D. C. McDowell, of Bowman the 28th Dec., at the residence of the bride's 12 Charles street, Dr. Jerrold Ball, to Emily, de of Frederick A. Moore, Esq., all of Toronto.

At St. John's Church, Ancaster, on December the Rev. W. R. Clark, Dr. Stevenson, of Bront., to Helen L. M., eldest daughter of Arm Hubbard, Esq., of Brundale, Ancaster.

At Emerson, Man., on 28th December, by the C. J. Brenton, M.A., Dr. John Smith, of Wind Man., to Laura Lillian, only daughter of the late McLeod, M.P.P., of Dunvegan, Bowmanville, Of

DEATHS.

On the morning of the 11th January, at Grand H Michigan, Dr. A. J. Whitehead, aged 29 years. On the 15th January, at the residence of his son Canniff, Jonas Canniff, aged 92 years.

At Cobourg, on the 17th January, James Pri

M.D., in his 85th year.