

acute and chronic; general bleeding being best adapted to the former, and local to the latter. Hippocrates and his immediate followers bled largely in pneumonia and pleurisy, and Sydenham, Rush, Louis, Drake, and many others often took immense quantities of blood in the treatment of these maladies. In acute inflammation of the eye, in robust subjects, bleeding is often indispensably necessary to save the affected organ. Who would hesitate to draw blood largely, under similar circumstances, in acute inflammation of the brain and its envelope, in acute pericarditis or endocarditis, in hepatitis, splenitis, gastritis, enteritis, peritonitis, cystitis, metritis, or orchitis? Stricture of the urethra would be much less frequent if young men laboring under gonorrhœa were freely bled at the beginning of the attack. In traumatic affections of the joints, unattended with loss of blood or severe shock, the abstraction of blood would often prevent ankylosis, so common under the present system of treatment. The spasm which is so often present in recent fractures, especially in those of the leg and thigh, is more readily controlled by venesection, followed by a hypodermic injection of morphia, than by any other agent I have ever tried.

In chronic inflammation, blood-letting is often an indispensable remedy. Even the most ultra advocate of the stimulant method of treatment will hesitate to employ it when destructive action is gradually but surely undermining structure and function. The abstraction of five, eight, or even ten ounces of blood in chronic pneumonia and pleurisy, especially when associated with severe pain and obstructed respiration, often acts like a charm, relieving suffering and promoting the beneficial action of other measures. In chronic ophthalmia a few leeches applied to the fore part of the temple, on a line with the commissure of the lids, frequently produce the happiest result. But I will not consume your time by an enumeration of the different cases of chronic inflammation in which blood-letting might be advantageous. What I have said respecting the lungs, pleuræ, and eye is equally applicable to other structures, and needs no further elucidation here.

It requires no labored argument to show that general bleeding can be successfully practised only at the beginning of an acute disease, or during its earlier and gravescent stages. Performed at a later period, when the morbid action is fully established and the affected tissues are inundated with inflammatory deposits, it cannot fail to do harm by robbing the system of the strength so much needed to carry on its vital processes. A copious bleeding at the outset of a violent inflammatory disease is gold; but at its height, lead, or, to express myself more clearly, life in the one case, death in the other.

Secondly.—To draw blood to the greatest possible advantage, the quantity should be measured, not by ounces, but by the impression it makes upon the system, as denoted by the pallor of the countenance, the reduction of the heart's action, the softened state of the pulse and skin, the abatement of pain and of other symptoms, as headache, thirst, and

restlessness, so universally present in all severe inflammatory attacks. To insure this result in the most speedy and decided manner, the blood should be drawn from a large orifice in a large vein at the rate of two and a half to three ounces in the minute, while the patient is in the erect or semi-erect posture. If the body be recumbent during the operation, a much larger quantity of blood will be required to be drawn to produce the desired effect than when the reverse is the case. While, therefore, the bleeding should be spoliative, care should be taken not to waste the fluid unnecessarily.

To prevent undue reaction after the operation, the bleeding should not be carried to complete syncope, but merely to an approach to this condition, the effect of the operation being carefully watched by a reference to the countenance and the pulse, lest it should exceed the proper limits, and thus do harm instead of good. Violent reaction, however, in any case, after the abstraction of blood, may generally be effectually prevented by a full dose of some diaphoretic anodyne, as ten grains of Dover's powder with one-fourth of a grain of morphia, given immediately after the operation.

Respecting the repetition of the operation, every case must, so to speak, make its own rules. If, after a very copious bleeding, the symptoms rapidly reappear in all or nearly all their former intensity, the operation should at once be repeated, either by reopening the original orifice or by selecting another vein. In urgent cases, as in violent pneumonia, pleurisy, peritonitis, cerebritis, or endocarditis, the operation may often be repeated several times in rapid succession. Under such circumstances, the practitioner must, like a wary general, make forced marches, and follow up his successes, not waiting until the enemy has intrenched himself behind his works, but striking heavy blows while he has the opportunity.

But I shall be told that such heroic treatment must inevitably induce serious debility. I grant it will; but in turn I ask, will the disease, if neglected or permitted to progress, not also cause debility,—debility, perhaps, of the very worst kind,—debility from over-action of the heart, imperfect supply of nerve-fluid, deranged circulation, impaired function of vital organs, and, above all, from disordered structure from inflammatory deposits? The enlightened practitioner bleeds to save tissue, and to prevent the morbid action from running riot. He repairs strength, when the time for it arrives, by making blood with nutritious food and drink, and thus speedily sets the machinery of life again in motion. The timid, hesitating practitioner, the opponent of bleeding, on the contrary, although he may employ the same restoratives, uses them inopportunely, and thus allows the debility caused by his treatment to linger for an indefinite time, provided the patient is so fortunate as to survive the first onslaught of his disease.

Before I proceed to speak of local bleeding, let us briefly inquire into the mode of action of venesection, or, in other words, how the removal of blood from the system affords relief in inflammatory