foe to be guarded against. On the approach of winter the care of Jutta became perplexing-the more so as I was about to leave for England. I at length resolved to place the case, near a window, in a outer passage leading to a dairy. There it would be, I thought, sufficiently removed from the warmth of the house, and would escape the crushing weight of the winter's snow. I left the larvæ supplied abundantly with sedge growing in well soaked sphagnum. On my return in February only six of the larvæ remained. They were torpid, but fresh and plump. A mild day came, and one of the larvæ revived; but the mild day was followed by a bitter night, and the adventurous larva perished. When the others began to revive I moved the cage into a room where the temperature could be better regulated. Of the remaining larvæ one afterwards died and four went into chrysalis. The chrysalids were naked, unattached, and lay on the surface, or just below the surface of the sphagnum. I sent one of them to Mr. W. H. Edwards, and one I preserved as a specimen. The other two produced butterflies. The first of these appeared on the 31st of May, and was crippled. I thought that perhaps I had kept the chrysalis too dry, and I sprinkled the one remaining with fresh water. Next day a beautiful and fully developed female Jutta presented itself, crowning my efforts with success. It was as large as the largest specimens I had taken in a state of nature. The only difference I could perceive-and it was hardly perceptible-was that the insect raised in captivity was of a somewhat paler brown than the others.

ONE WORD MORE ABOUT RILEYA.

BY L. O. HOWARD, WASHINGTON, D. C.

(See Mr. Ashmead's communication in December Can. Ent.)

Without entering further into the discussion of priority, beyond stating that Mr. Ashmead is wrong in his points (1), that my original communication was read after the publication of his synoptical table, (it was read two days before the receipt of the number of *Entomologica Americana*, in which the table was published), and (2), that his full description was published before mine; (mine was published nine days before the receipt of the Kansas bulletin). I wish to say just a word in reply to his supposi-