Longueuil, who very generously went at his own expense, thus showing a rate exawple of disinterestedness for the interest of agricultural. The whole of the ploughmen had finished their work con:iderably within the time allowed, (namely, at the rate of an arpent in cight hours, and in proportion for any less quantity.) thus proving that the time was not too short for executing the work. Some of the work was very well done, and in fact, there was not any of it that might not be considered fair ploughing. We were sorry that the size of the furrow slice was not one of the conditions of the Plonghing Matcin. If a scale had been adopted, ploughmen would have been more particular to cut the furrow siices nearer to the proper proportion.

It could not be an arbetrary or unnecessary "condition" io fix the size and preportion of the furrow stice. Grass land is generally selected for Ploughing Matches, and there cannot be any doubt that the best size for the furrow slice in ploughing such land, wouk be 5 inches deep by 8 inches wide or 6 inches deep by 9 inches wide. Each slice leaning upon, or covering the one previously turned, one-third of its width, and thus the ridges would be ribied into regular drills having that ap-pearance-which will be found to harorw and cover the seed better, than if ploughed in any other way-indeed it is the best mode of ploughing grass land, however it may be cultivated subsequently, whether the object be to rot the surface orcover the seed. If the furrow slice is cut too narrow or too wide in proportion to its depth, in grass land, the work will not look well, nor will $i_{t}$ be executed in the best manner for the farmer. This is an established fact with all who understand what constitutes good ploughing, and the want of due attention to this point is the greatest defect in Canadian ploughmen, who appear in too great a hurry in order that they may turn over a large quantity of land in a day. We indeavoured to explain the nature and
objects of "Conditions" extablished for the " Plouthing Matcher" and ako winy it was necessary that the furren shice should be cut in due propotion. It will not be productive of much grod to tell men that their work is not executed in a proper manmer ualess you can convince them of the fach, and demonstrate to them cleariy, that the mode you propose is a better one. If this was always done by parties proposing or desiring improvements, Canadian or any other farmers would nof reject or iofuse to adopt improwements that wond b: manifestly for their adrantage. The usefumess of Ploughing Matches is very questionable if prizes are awarded for any work that is not excouted in a proper mamer, and hence the necessity of establishing regular " Rules" as to what conslitutes good ploughing. At all Exhibitions hold for promotery agriculamal or other improve-a-not, prizes should ise withheld where there was not sufficient merit. If this rule is not strictly observed, the awarding of prizes is calculated to do more harm than grood, as it has a decided tendeney to lead into crror.

We were much disappointed that only swing ploughs of iron or wond appeared at the Ploughing Match when we know that nine-tenths of the ploughs in use with Canadian farmers are wheel ploughs. The Conditions of the Ploughing Match inacied every discription of plough to be brought forward, in order that their mirits on defects might be made manifest. It is a great mistake to suppose that the Directors of the Jower Canada Agricultura! Society would wish to discourage the use of any plough which farmers could make good work with. It would appear from the circumstance of not having any of the ploughs in common ese in the conntry, brought to the Ploughing Mateh, that the farmers were apprehensive that they could not gain a prize with them in competition with ploughs of other construction. If his be the fact, the continued use of such emplements is an injury. If, on the contrary,

