legislation, and the activity of legislation is a better sign for a country than its incrtness. Conversely, I assume that the deficiency of legislation of the kind here under discussion, for two countries like Scotland and Ireland, is, in part, fairly attributable to a deficiency of facilities for accomplishing it.

B

(-

d

ıt

er s.

1,

30

W

ed

w
es
xch
ne
in
mhe
ch
or
cy
n-

ry

ed e,

ъe.

ls d c Those Acts of a quasi-imperial character termed "Public and General Statutes," yield the following results, allowing to the description Imperial the widest scope:—

Imperial statutes-e.g., Army, Navy, Rever	ue,	
&c., &e	•••	45
Technical statutes - amending laws or affect	ing	
legal questions, &c. (these might be either le	cal	
or Imperial)	•••	15
Local statutes:—England	26	
" Ireland	16	
" Scotland	7	
" India	2	
" England and Ireland	1	
		52
Total		112

Hence, had there existed an Imperial Parliament and separate local Governments in England, Scotland, and Ireland, less than one-half of the Public General Statutes would have come within the province of Imperial legislation—that is, 45 out of 97.

The result upon the whole legislation is, that out of 293 Acts there were—

Imperia	l		•••	•••	•••	• • •	48
Technica	al	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	15
English	•••	•••		•••	•••	•••	166
Irish	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	35
Scotch	• • •	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	26
Indian	464	•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••	2
England	and	Irel	and t	toget	her	•••	1
						-	
		T	stal				203

Less than one-sixth in number of all the Acts of last session could