

CONTENTS.

No.	CORRESPONDENCE.	DATE.	PAGE.
I.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop, of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, soliciting attention to the case of the Roman Catholic Separate School in Chatham; and complaining generally of the prevalence of a spirit, which is nothing short of a more or less disguised persecution,	1892. 20th Feb.,	3
II.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, on the case of the Separate School in Chatham; complaining that the Negroes are incomparably better treated than the Roman Catholics; that Goldsmith's anti-Catholic History of England is perused as a text-book; and, generally, that our system of Education is a regular disguised persecution,	7th Mar.,	3
III.	Letter from the Chief Superintendent of Schools to the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, in reply to the foregoing; explaining the law with reference to parental authority in the use of religious or other books; showing that the only text-books authorized by law are the Irish National Series; and that the claims and exemptions, advocated by the supporters of Separate Schools, are ominous of evil and are not supported by law,	13th Mar.,	4
IV.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, expressing extreme dissatisfaction with the operations of the system of Public Elementary Instruction; ridiculing its protection of parental and religious rights; charging the Schools with being a danger for the faith and morals of Roman Catholic children, on the part of Teachers, books, and fellow-pupils, in this "sectarian country," and the school system with being the rule of religion, and a persecution for his Church; complaining that they are unlike the National Schools in Ireland, and that God is unknown in them, as he was in Athens; that in the absence of religious education in the Schools, he will have nothing to do with them; adducing the testimony of Guizot and Laing in regard to schools in Rome; demanding the full management of their schools; or the world of the 19th century shall know, that here, as elsewhere, Catholics are persecuted by the most cruel and hypocritical persecution,	24th Mar.,	5
V.	Letter from the Chief Superintendent of Schools to the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, defending the country and school system from the charges contained in the foregoing; referring to the cordial support of the late Roman Catholic Bishop Power; and the general opposition of Roman Catholics to separate schools; showing the inexpediency of separate schools in our National system of Education; that both separate and public schools are under the same regulations as to moneys, teachers, books and superintendence; that the novel demand that public money, municipal authority and property be employed to the same extent to build up denominational interests, as to promote interests which are common to all classes, involves the subversion of our municipal system and liberties; and referring to the case of Mr. Maurice Carroll; the testimony of Guizot, and Laing, in regard to Schools in Rome,	21st April,	6
VI.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, referring to a conversation relative to the Roman Catholic Separate Schools in Toronto,	6th April,	13
VII.	Letter from the Chief Superintendent of Schools to the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, explaining that their conversation referred to the establishment, and not the support, of separate schools in Toronto,	10th April,	13
VIII.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, reiterating in French, the sentiments expressed in his former letters; quoting the Canons of the Roman Catholic Provincial Councils of Baltimore; and the testimony of Archbishop Murray, in reference to schools in Ireland; stating that if the schools were exclusively for secular instruction, he might tolerate them, otherwise Roman Catholics are forbidden to send their children to them on pain of the refusal of the sacraments; and asserting that the Pope and Bishops of the Roman Catholic Church are the only persons authorized by God himself to direct the Education of youth, and that they have done and will do all in their power to overthrow or modify every system not in harmony with the mission of the teaching church. [French original in Appendix, No. 7, page 30],	1st May,	13
IX.	Letter from the Chief Superintendent of Schools to the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, showing that the same sentiments and avowals are advanced in the foregoing as in former letters; that the same system as regards regulations and books, which Archbishop Murray supported in Ireland, is established in Upper Canada, and was so recognized by the late Bishop Power; that the canons of the Roman Catholic Provincial Councils of Baltimore are of no more value than those of other religious bodies; that on the basis of property, Roman Catholics are most benefitted by the mixed schools; advocating the rights of Roman Catholic children to obtain an education in our Public Schools; and noticing the assertion of the claim for supremacy by the Roman Catholic Church in the direction of systems of public instruction,	12th May,	15
X.	Note from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, acknowledging the foregoing letter as the conclusion of the correspondence with the Head of the Educational Department,	22nd May,	19
XI.	Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chairman of the Council of Public Instruction, charging the Chief Superintendent of Schools with having employed personalities and insinuations in the foregoing correspondence,	26th May,	19
XII.	Letter from the Chief Superintendent of Schools to the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto, defending himself from the personal imputations in the foregoing; defining his position and duties as Head of the Educational Department and member of the Council of Public Instruction; and recapitulating the arguments and demands contained in the foregoing correspondence,	31st May,	20

APPENDIX.

No. 1.	Provisions of the School Act, 13th and 14th Victoria, chapter 48, relating to Religious Instruction and separate Schools,	23
No. 2.	Declaratory School Act, 11th and 15th Victoria, ch. 111, relating to Separate Schools in Cities and Towns,	24
No. 3.	Regulations of the Council of Public Instruction for Upper Canada as to the Constitution and Government of Schools, with respect to Religious and Moral Instruction,	21
No. 4.	Regulations of the Commissioners of National Education in Ireland as to the Government of Schools with respect to attendance and Religious Instruction—with note, by the Chief Superintendent of Schools,	25
No. 5.	Question of Religious Instruction in connection with the system of Public Instruction in Upper Canada—from the Annual Report of the Chief Superintendent of Schools for 1851,	26
No. 6.	Fortieth Section of the School Act, 13th and 14th Victoria, chapter 48, defining the "Common School Fund,"	30
No. 7.	Copy of Letter from the Roman Catholic Bishop of Toronto to the Chief Superintendent of Schools, in the original French. (For translation see Letter VIII, page 13),	30