e valued c, 1859, at apart men in months them to rwards,

; and 7 ille Isles votes on o of £50 he Comain, supentitled f 14 erars, that voted, so quatters r words, Mr. Belhad then

mmittee. hese 113 the land xpressed nissioner 48 of the ed there, Seignior bjections ne of the hold was ft 21 bad n farther n had no , but it is able view

of these much vaunted papers of the Seigniorial Commission, the Sitting Member was allowed to retain upon the Poll about 24 per centum of Mille Isles votes more than they shew to have existed there.

It is to be hoped that these few figures will forever set at rest the pretension that any injustice was done the Sitting Member in respect of the Mille Isles Poll.

The assertion that the Seignior, Mr. DeBellefeuille, forgot two Côtes in giving his evidence, is simply absurd. A reference to his testimony will shew that he speaks of all the four Côtes, known as St. Angelique, St. Margaret, St. Joseph and St. Eustache, which alone are within the boundaries of Argenteuil, and form what is called the augmentation of Mille Isles. It is said that two Côtes of the Seigniory of Mille Isles were forgotten or omitted in the first *cadastre* of that Seigniory; and this is supposed to be the report upon which the assertion is made, that Mr. DeBellefeuille forgot two Côtes in the augmentation of that Seigniory when he gave his evidence on this contest. One moment's glance at his testimony would have shewn the absurdity of such a pretensjon.

FINIS.