Thos Leyo

## THE ORANGE I

VOL. VI.

BYTOWN, JUNE 26, 1854.

NO:124.

[From the Editburgh Review.]

Ant. I .- 1. The Dieine Rule of Feith und Practice. By W. Goode, M.A. edition. London: 1853.

Discourses on the Controversics of the Day. By W. F. Hook, D. J. London:

3. Means of Unity. A Charge by Arch-deacon Harr. London: 1847.

(Concluded.)

To ascertain the relative strength of the different sections into which the Church of emergin sections into which the Church of England is diveded, is not an easy task. At first it might be thought that the proctors elected to represent the Clergy in Convo-tation would furnish data for such a calculation. But these elections have become there forms, and are seldom contested; and oren in the few contests which have occurred, a very small proportion of the electors has taken part. The income of the religious societies would give an element for determining the resources of the parties in determining the resources of the parties, by which they are respectively supported; but it is impossible to find any society supported by only a single party. We may, however, deduce from this source some information bearing on the question. The subscriptions to the Charch Alissaniary Society are the charch of the charc ciety amount to about 100,000% a year, those to the Propagation Society to about 50,0001. The former is supported by all this of Low Church and Broad Church; the latter by all studes of High Church and Broad Church. Hence if we suppose the parties. B scarcely gruportional to the amount of their subscript this datum. tions, we arrive at the conclusion that the supported mainly by the Low Church, collects a little above 30,000? This leads to much the samo inference as before.

The circulation of the religious newspapers, on the other hand, seems to have a different result. The 'Record' which is the organ of one extreme party, and the Guardian, which is the organ of the other, have about an equal circulation. But here again it is impossible to eliminate the elements which prevent us from founding any accurate calculation on these data. Many take in these journals as good . family newspapers, without agreeing with their riews. Moreover, neither of the moderate parties is represented by any newspaper And again, the whole 'average circulation't of both 'Record' and 'Guardian' together does not amount to eight thousand, whereas the number of clergymen in England alone

is above 18,000.

The address to the Archbishop in favour of the Gorham Indgement was signed by more than 3,2005 clergymen, of the Broad

Because B + L=2(B + H) ... L=2H + B.

In the above statement the hard only, taken
into account the income derived from subscriptions and detailons. The other sources of income
to affecting our present subject:

17 + the number sold of each separate copy.

5 This was strongly wanted as 2,500, in No

133, page 34, note.

and Low Church parties! that against the pers. But as the understanding logically Judgement by nearly 1,800 High Church- develope its favourite truth, it ut length men, including laty and clergy. This latter was signed by every Tractarian eler gyman in England, and we have thus a proof that their number does not exceed a thousand, for at least 880 of the signatures

iniation to the proportion of parties, we have gone through the Clergy List, marking the names of all the clergymen whose opinions we know, to about 500. The result of this examination has been, that supposing those unknown to us to be in the same proportions with those known, we should be led to classify the 18,000† clergy of the Church of Eugland as fol-

High Church.

- 3,500 Anglican -Tractarian -1,000 2,500 'High and Dry' 3,300 Evangelical Recordite -2,500 700 Low and Slow Theoretical 1,000 Anti-theoretical -2,500

and about 1,000 pensant clergy in the roomtain districts, who must be classed apan .1

The twenty-eight Bishops and Archbishops of England are divided in a somewhat different ratio; viz., thirteen belonging to various shades of High Church, ten to the Broad Church, and five to the Evangeliai parties. But for obvious reasons we can scarcely ground any general conclusions on

Low Church party is (including its lay and traple cord in which they interface could clencal members) more than twice as including its lay and traple cord in which they interface could clencal members) more than twice as including its lay and traple cord in which they interface could clencal members more than twice as including the High Church party. Again, of its strands be cut, without a risk of the Caracte's Aid Society, supported mainly severeing the rest. The object of every But whatever may be the relative strength memus as the High Church party. Again, of its strands be cut, without a risk of the Curaic's Aid. Society, supported mainly severeing the rest. The object of every by the High Church, collects rather under wise Churchman sloud be to keep each 13,000l, per anum; the Pastrol Aid Society, of the main schools of opinion from extraagance on the one hand, and from stagna-tion on the other; and the existence of providencounteracting parties is a c' tially operating for this enc. Nor should we forget that the difference which divide each from each are much exaggerated by party spirit. most of them can be resolved into mere diputes about terms, which might be ended by stricter definition. Those which he deeper result from a difference of mental constitution, and belong to the domain of metaphy sics rather than of religion. For it is in theology as it is in philosophy; every distinct sect strives to represent and embody a separate truth. A few great ideas are intuitively stamped upon the ground-work of human reason, but not not ultuminated with equal brightness. The idea, which in one mind stands out in dazzling light, in another is dim and overshadowed. Hence each idea has its exclusive worship-

signed it.

\*Plie-Ulerity List of the Present year gives
the names of above 18,300 clergy in Englands;
this does not include the Dergy. At 2

2 See No. 198, Art 3.

deduces consequences which seem to contradict some other truth equally fundamental. Then follows a conflict, which in a few minds produces absolute Pyrthonism; thousand, for at least 800 of the signatures that which more frequently issues in one of must have belonged to laymen or Anglican three alternatives. First, the mind may abandon the principle whence it started, As another mode of obtaining an approxthat its logical consequences seem to contradict another axiom; secondly, the truth of both principles may be admitted, although their consequences seem irreconcilable; of thirdly, the consequences of the first principle may be embraced, and the modifying truth rejected. This last is the course adopted by extreme parties. Thus there are different stages in the development of opinion, each marked by the rejection of reception of some modifying truth, and each forming the halting place of a different sect or school. Nor is there any evil in this variety, so long as the truths of morality and religion are not contradicted. And even where we might, at first sight, suppose them to be so (as for matance, in the case of fatalist opinions), we must be cautions of yielding this impression. For picty has a transmuting power, and often turns the inconsitency of the understanding into food for the goodness of the heart. Therefore, metead of murmering, we should rejuce when we see the same character of Christian Holiness manifested under divineopinions. For Christianity, embraced under one form, might have been rejected under another. All cannot see through the same telescope, but different oyes require the tube to be variously adjusted. And the tube to be variously adjusted. And the image formed will at best be blurred and dim, unless charity furnish us with her achiematic leus, and blend all the rays into one harmonious brightness.

But is there then, that it may be asked, no evil in the spirit of party? Are we preaching acquissence in 'our unhappy' divisions' which are so often the subject of official lamentation? That be far from us. Strifeguid enmity are justly lamentable.— But the mischief is not in variety of opinion, but in variance of heart; not in theological idiosyncrasies, but in unscrupulous partisan-This last, the besetting sin of all parties, is most offensive in those which are contending for religion. A 1 yet we fear that none is tree from it. O the one sido, if a renegade priest will mai e offettive speeches against the Pope, and befray the secrets of the Church which he has desorted, the foulest scandals in his private ine cannot shake the confidence is administration. On the other sale, if a dampion of orthodoxy is qualified by talents or posttion to remier good service to his partisans, they will defend him though he be convictediotimore, than Jesuitical mendacity, or ota sharp fractice which would strike a provincini pattilogger off the rolls. It is not. complices to minorality, but they wilfully shut their eyes to all evidence against their favorties, and bring, it a vertuet of not guilly before the trial fixabeguit. In advocating mutual chanity, we advocate no such

The same results follow, whether the prin-1 ciples be derived fom reason or from Scripture,

This protest was sent for signature to draw clergyman in England, by a London Commit.
The address in favour of the indigment was only circulated privately by the effects of a single dergyman, Mr. Goode, and to our knowledge have standed.