

ignorance of Colonial affairs, have been keeping their readers posted as to the movements and sayings of "Professor Henry Drummond, of Glasgow University, the distinguished author of 'Natural Law in the Spiritual World'"! and unless these statements are corrected and the authorship established beyond doubt, the *profanum vulgus* may be betrayed into making the same blunder.

But all this indignation is wasting time and injuring morals. What we set out to say was, that the professor dropped in on us one forenoon rather unexpectedly. He had only a couple of hours in the city, just time enough to disturb the dust in the MONTHLY'S "den." He hates parade, but was induced to interrupt the college classes, and right hearty was their welcome. He did little more than express pleasure in meeting seventy Knox College students, regret that it was only a bowing acquaintance, assure any who should cross the Atlantic of a warm welcome from at least one Presbyterian professor, pay a sincere compliment to our own new professor, and leave a bright punctuation point in the lectures for the day.

Drummond despises interviewers, but to the MONTHLY he talked freely about theological movements in Scotland. Dods is doing splendidly, he said. His class-room last session was crowded to the doors. The London *Times* was right when it said that the Free Church College, Edinburgh, was never as orthodox as it was last winter; that Prof. Dods faced heresy after heresy and laid them one by one. The students are not led captive now by the Goliaths of German unbelief. One has come into their midst who has faith in God and knows how to sling "smooth stones." The result is that theological speculation is being wisely directed, and not only will the intellectual life of the students be quickened, but their faith will be strengthened and their spiritual life deepened. With such expressions of hope and faith Professor Drummond closed his brief visit to Toronto.

Nearly a year ago it was announced that arrangements had been made for the publication of a Canadian review, to be known as *The University Quarterly Review*. The *personnel* of the editorial staff was and the name of the publishers were not divulged. The first number appeared in March, and, although a little late, was a credit to the unknown publishers and editors. But it was evident that youth and inexperience were at the helm. The promoters did not take the public into their confidence, and so the public took but meagre interest in the enterprise. A "P.O. Box" does not inspire magazine readers with confidence in a literary venture, and while they wish it well, they carefully refrain from remitting their subscriptions. Those who had some faith in the success of the *Quarterly* waited