hath desired to have you that he may sift you as wheat; but I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not and when thou art converted strengthen thy brethren" (S. Lake axii. 31). Here the distinction between the plural you (referv: $\begin{gathered}\text { y } \\ \text { to }\end{gathered}$ the twelve as a boly) and the singular thee and thou has not been sufliciently marked. It would be well in reading to lay some stress or emphasis on thee, thy, and thon, so as to mark out the special object of our Saviour's prayer. We must not be afraid of doing this because some persons have strangely argued that because St. Peter in especial required our Lord's ansions prayer that he should not fail in faith, therefore the pope of Rome is supreme in power over the whole Church of Christ, and infallible to boul! Truly the P'ope requires our pazayers.

Another source of perplexity arises when it is not easy at a momen's notice to determine whether the pronoun is a simple relative or an interrogative, when it oceurs in a dependent clause. One such passage oceurs in the prophet Jeremiah, and is most frequently read erroneously: " $\Lambda$ ak ye now among the heathen, who hath heard such things" (Jer. sviii. 13). Often have we known hesitation in reading this passage, marking ignorance as to whether the who was the commencement of a question, or the statement of a fact that the heathen had heard such thinge. A short consideration will show that it is interrogative, but unfortunately some do not even give this amount of consideration before they undertake to read in public.

In S. Mathew axiii. 38, "Your house is left unto you desolate." Some nave thought that an emphasis should be haid upon your, implying that indece it had once been God's House, but that as the Lord had said, "My house shall be called a house of prayer, but ye have made it a den of thieves," their sims made it their own house. This, however, is probably a wrong interpretation and no particular stress should be laid on "your." The probable reading (to speak with due reverence) is, "This house of which you are so proud shall be left desolate," the original word for house being the word commonly used for the Temple.

In the most difficult passage, Acts xxvi. 2S, it is hard to say exactly how to read the English. - King Agrippa says, as the Authorized Version has it, "Almost thou persuadest me to be a Christian." First of all remark that there is no emphasis whatever to be placed upon the me. The Greek of the ordinary text would mean, "In a few words (or in a little time) you are seeking to persuade me to be a Christian." Let each reader fix this meaning in his mind and express it in the Authorized Version as best he may.

There is a text in the Epistle to the Romans, about which a word may be said in passing, which is often emphasized wrongly. "Are we better than they:" (Romans iii. 9). It is startling to the ordinary reader to see the Revised Version, which is most probably right, though it seems directly opposed to the usual version: "Are we in worse case than they?: The words camnot be altered in reading, but we can remember that here there is no emphasis to be placed upon the pronouns; if any emphasis at all be here employed, it shoukd be lightly upon the word "better:" Are we better than they?
This may perhaps be sufficient to draw close attention to the difliculties that present themselves in reading the pronouns of the Authorized Version with due emphasis so as to convey a correct as well as intelligible expression.

But before we pass on and leave the the subject altogether, perhaps it will be well to draw attention to what perhaps is well known, that is the ambiguity in the word then. bometimes this is only of smallest importance (as what some who are fond of hard words would call an enclitic conjunction), to be read with no emphasis whatever; sometimes it is an adverb of time, in contradistinction to now, and requires to be emphasized.

To give an example: In Rom. vi. 21, "What fruit had ye then, in these things whereof ye are now ashaned?" Then should be emphasized as syeaking of the past time hefore their conversion.

Again, the word then has more senses than one and when it has a local sense it should have an emphasis, as for example: "Fear came then upon them and sorrow" (Psalm xlviii.5). As, "They made a calf in Horeb, and worshipped the molten image," in Iforel should be emphasized as exaggremaling their sin, in making an image just after the Ten Commandments had been given in a majestic and awful manner.
Next, attention must be drawn to prepositions; and amongst them the one probably which gives the most ansiety is the preposition of. It is used in a great many senses, and though it may not be easy to give the sense by mere intonation of voice, yet something may be done. Thus when it is nothing more than the sign of the possessive or genitive case no stress at all may be laid on it. "The word of the Lord," "The mountains of Israel;" there is no need of care or emphasis herc. But sometimes it means from. In some of such passages it is hard to make any distinction, and perhaps it is not necessary, as for exomple: "Then shall every man have proise of GoD" (I Cor. iv. 5). There cannot well be any mistake here. But how many misunderstand the opening of the Litany, " $O$ God the Father, of

