Statistical.

Prohibition in Iowa having attracted a great deal of attention recently we give this week a general statement regarding that State. This does not exhaust Iowa by any means, but will do for the present. Next week we will deal with Kansas. Our readers will do well to preserve these statistical statements.

PROHIBITION IN IOWA.

Iowa first adopted Prohibition in 1855 In 1858 it having been discovered that the law was retarding the progress of the law was retarding the progress of the State it was modified to permit the sale of ale, beer and wine. During the war period the prohibition of the sale of spirits fell into disuse. A constitutional amendment was carried in 1882, was declared unconstitutional, but the legisla ture passed the present law in 1883. A in almost every instance the prohibitive law never did have the support of the majority of the electors. On the constitutional amendment June 27, 1882, there was polled for the act 155,436, against 125,677, majority 29,759; total vote 281,-113. For Governor the following year there was polled 327,266 votes, and for President a year later 375,877 votes. It will be seen at a glance how large a number of people abstained from voting on Prohibition. That the law has not been successful, even to the extent of reducing in any reasonable percentage the sale of liquor, nobody will deny. Even the spasdie attempts at enforcement in certain places leads only to ill-feeling, perjury and expense, and are quickly abandoned. To-day we do not believe there is an honest attempt at enforcement in any municipality outside of those where no liquor would be sold no matter what law might be in force. And more liquor is sold in more places to-day in Iowa than was sold under license. Let us give you some statistics on this subject. Following is a table giving the number of government licenses issued from 1882

to 1892, inclusive:

Retail Dealers,	Wholesale Dealers,	Malt Liquors only.	Mait Liquors only.	Brewers.	Rectifiers
2,000 2,000 3,000	486844864	585555555	ភមភ៩១៩៥៦2 <u>ខ្</u> ងំ	HEEEnnesia	85-s5c-sF

In 1885, the year after the prohibition law took effect, the retail licenses were 3,549; eleven months in 1892 show 5,846. Further comment is unnecessary. Per-haps it will be claimed these are all drug stores? Mr. Spaulding, secretary of the

Iowa State Board of Pharmacists, puts the number of drug stores at 1,350. How many of them are of the character of the drug stores we visited in Des Moines is not known. Further, the annual report of the State Temperance Alliance, delivered at Des Moines, in March, 1890, stated: "The Alliance has the name and stated: "The Alliance has the name and post office address of every one who has paid a government tax for the purpose of selling liquor." More than that, they published a list giving the number for each town and county, making a total 5,867. (Government returns for 1889 5,897. (Government returns to confusive 4,912.) In this table Clinton is credited with 105, Burlington 170, Dubuque 288, Cedar Rapids 166, Des Moines 202, Council Bluffs 180, Davenport 275, Ottumwa 155, Sioux City 351.

Iowa has 1 liquor license to every 455 of population, as compared to one for every 771 of population in its high license

The law prohibits the manufacture of liquor within the State. There are now many brewery licenses issued, and the product for a number of years past has een as follows :

										0				No. of frewery icenses.
886					. ,	,							197,372	98
887					. ,								183,464	78
888		A	b		,								174,339	74
883													112,470	30
NOR.													88,266	92
201													105,943	259
892													114,923	99
	ò													

inconsiderable as compared with the amount consumed, but the running of about 30 establishments turning out 3,677. 536 gallons of beer is a curious commen

tary upon the law and its enforcement. How has Prohibition affected crime in Iowa? In 1880 Iowa had 493 prisoners in her penitentiaries and jails per million of population, and in 1890, 497. The follow-ing table shows the number of criminals sent to the reform school, jails and penitentiaries since 1884, when the prohibi-

	 ma frances	Service .		
		teform School.	Jail.	Peniten-
1884		21	152	7397.6
1885.		18	163	400
1886		20	188	330
		10	2971	200
1888		13	197	196
1889		8	193	318
1890		36	191	319
189L		14	175	397
892		31	277	438

For the same years we may give the num-

Assaults,	Mostly Liquor Cases, Nuisance,	Adultery.	slaughter and Murder.	Burglary.	Larceny.
F8888988	88 27 2 8 8 2 2 2 8 8 2 2 2 8 8 2 2 2 2	********	점점조립으리다므모	228818818	22222222

A feature in connection with the pro-hibitory law is to be found in the follow-ing table, showing for the same years the court expenses for criminal prosecutions in the State :

	Fines Imposed.	Fines Col- lected.	Paid Dis- trict Attor- neys for Criminal Prosecu- tions.	Total cost of Prosecu- tion, not including District Attorney's Fees.
1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1890 1891 1892	\$ 65,543 75,581 117,624 180,557 15,170 136,930 111,866 149,980 175,514	\$ 35,384 30,728 46,362 50,871 39,771 37,008 37,316 48,268 56,568	8 26, 239 26, 232 31, 648 41, 469 53, 518 67, 897 56, 348 79, 391 84, 027	\$ 379,580 413,349 421,024 382,877 390,424 399,420 452,294 455,204 575,638
	\$1,078,778	\$382,210	8 466,769	\$ 3,779,810

There were eighty-four more convictions in 1884, the first year after licence, than in 1892, and yet the cost of prosecutions in 1892 amounted to nearly \$200,000 more than in 1884. County Attorneys received \$84,027 in 1892 as against \$28,239 in 1884. We beg to commend these features to those who profess to believe that Prohibition would effect a saving in the cost of the administration of

Let us give one detail. In 1889 Polk County, which includes Des Moines, par 892,646 in court costs, of which \$37,755 was Justice and Police Court costs. In the summer of 1890, on the authority of the "Register," and which authority we are assured has never been denied, in the first six months there was taken from the treasury, for the criminal costs of Justices surts in that city alone, over \$30,000. Of this amount \$11,000 went to five Justices, the remainder to their consta Justices, the remainder to their consta-bles, witnesses, jurors, etc. This was all outside of the ordinary Police Court, where ordinary criminal cases are dis-posed of, and was mostly in the search and seizure business. This paper raised such a row over the seizing of a bottle of beer at a cost of \$5, and then trying and convicting it at a cost of \$10 to \$15, that in a measure the practice had to be stopped. It is now largely run at the Police Court, where "John Doe" Richard Roe" are daily on trial.

Now, take the question of the increase or decrease of population. Have the peoples of the earth, throbbing with anxiety to live under the glorious privi-leges of Prohibition, flocked in countless numbers to settle within the borders of A fairer, a more fruitful State is not to be found in the Union. The following table of comparison with sur-rounding States, all of which have rounding States, all of which have licenses, gives the facts of the case:-

Illinois Wisconsin Minnesota Nebraska Missouri	2,539,88 1,054,67 439,70 122,98	91 3,07 70 1,31 96 789 93 450	5,497 5,773 2,402	1,911,896 3,826,357 1,686,390 1,301,826 1,058,910 2,679,184
	Gain 1870 to 1880.	Gain 1880 to 1890	Per Cent. Gain 1870 to 1880.	Per Cent, Gain 1880 to 1890.
Iowa Illinois Wisconsin Minnesota Nebraska	430,505 537,980 260,827 341,067 329,409	287,271 748,480 371,383 521,053 606,508	36.06 21.18 24.73 77.57 267.82	17.68 24.32 28.23 66.74 134.06

Missouri 447,085 570,804 25,97 23,55 Will anybody explain the decreased immigration into Iowa and the increased immigration into all these neighboring states, north, south, east and west, and leave out the prohibitive law as the factor Twenty-seven counties actually decreased between 1880 and 1890. Illinois increased three times as much as Iowa, Wisconsin

went ahead of her by nearly 100,000. Minnesota's increase was about double that of Iowa, wicked Missouri's more than double, while over 600,000 people, travel led across prohibition Iowa to get intelicenced Nebraska.

Again take the statistics as to poverty in Iowa. In 1880 the proportion was 71, paupers in almshouses per million of paupers in almshouses per million of population, while in 1890 the percentage had increased to 848. In other words, in 1880, with a population of 1,624,015, Iowa had 1,165 persons in her almsho 1890 with a population of 1,911,896 sh had 1,621 paupers. In 1880 the ratio was one pauper to every 1,394 inhabitants, while in 1890 after a term of Prohibition. there was one pauper to every 1,178 in habitants.

Now take the figures as to insanita The Superintendent's reports of the State Asylums show as the average number of patients in two selected years as follows (year ending 30th June in each case).

1883	189
Mount Pleasant 518	78
Independence 552 Clarindo, not open in 1883,	810
1.070	1 01

The statement is made in connection with the above: "In addition to the number of insane confined in the hospitals. there were, on June 30th, 1891, 737 public insane cared for in the various counties, and sixty-six private ins me persons. Forty-nine of the counties had county asylums where incurables were confined. Practically all, if not all of the county asylums have been built since 1883 in order to relieve the State Asylums of the incurables. The total insane in the State hospitals at the end of the last biennial period was 1,958, and the total in the State 2,761." Of course we do not charge this enormous increase in insanity to Prohibition, but as the prohibitionists have raised that issue they are 'welcom
to the conclusions to be derived there

In conclusion we have only this to say Prohibition is and has been a screamin farce in Iowa, but the farce seems now t have been played nearly to the end. it not so near the end a great deal more

THE WOMAN IN THE CASE.

ONE warm day in the mountains of Tennessee I rode up to a house where there was a woman drawing a bucket of water with an old-fashioned well-sweep and a ed if she would give me a drink. She was only too glad to be hospitable, and brought me a gourdful. As I drank at it slowly and with evident relish, she watched me curiously.

"Purty good critter you air ridin'.

"Thank you, yes; he belongs to a friend of mine.

"Purty nice-looking yerself," she add ed, in quite the same tone she had used in speaking of the horse.
"Thanks," I responded, surprised into

blushing, but she never noticed it.

"Are you married?" she went on.

"No, I'm a bachelor,"

"Reckon yer erbout ez well off that way; p'raps a leetle better. I'm married "Well, I think it would have been a work treesent con

great improvement over my present condition if I had married some good, sensble girl ten years ago and settled down. I'm sure I should have been a happier

She thought for a minute before ans-

Likely," she said at last; "but how'd woman be feelin' by now!" Of course I had an argument to offer,

but when I went away, ten minutes later. I could see plainly she was thinking about