

Bantams, Turkeys and Geese.—BUTTERFIELD.

Dorkings, Houdans, French, Wyandottes, Ornamental class 68, Polands, Ducks.—JARVIS.

Plymouth Rocks, Hamburgs, Javas, Leghorns, Andalusians, Minorcas, Spanish, any other variety.—SMELT.

Same judge to judge breeding-pens in his own varieties.

At a meeting of the Board on April 17th the list as above was finally ratified.

"GIGANTIC" LIGHT BRAHMAS.

Editor Review:—

Replying to the strictures of Mr. TURCOTT *re* my advt, I beg to call that gentleman's attention to the fact that there were some other birds in that class at Ottawa besides his. His words are "all the birds that won, &c." Now the facts are as follows:—The 1st prize, hen; two 2nds, hens, (tie); 3rd, hen; 2nd, breeding-pen; 3rd, cock, (no 1st); and 3rd, cockerel, were all the progeny of trio imported by me. As to the weight phrase of the question I can afford to let that go.

As life is too short and REVIEW space too valuable for personalities I promise no further inflection in the matter.

Thanking you for space,

I am fraternally,

R. H. TRIMBLE.

Napance, April 21st, 1888.

THOSE "COCK TAILS."

Editor Review:—

In your March Special, Mr. P. G. KEYES, after informing your readers that the Ottawa show was held on February last (which fact, by the way, was announced in the REVIEW three weeks before Mr. K's communication appeared in print) goes on to say, that Mr. BICKNELL's judging gave great satisfaction, which is also stale news, but a fact nevertheless, at any rate your correspondent heard nothing but expressions of approval of Mr. Bick-

nell's scoring; and recollect, I am not becoming BICKNELL either, he does not require it, either from me or anybody else. After relieving himself of this startling communication, Mr. KEYES laments thusly:—But what a "gigantic fraud on the fancy, to invite 'a gentlemen all the way from Buffalo to act in the capacity of judge, when 'we have in our midst so able (?) a 'dissector of the *Gallus Bankiva*, as your 'regular correspondent appears to be. ("Vide March Review.) From the report just furnished you, it is evident "that there are large "cock tails" not to "be found in the Game bird your correspondent attempts to describe."

Now what on earth is the man driving at? I assure you Mr. EDITOR, I have not the least desire to usurp Mr. BICKNELL's position, nor have I ever dreamt even in my most sanguine moments that I was qualified to judge a whole show; but it would be presumption on my part to say, that a man of such colossal (?) brain power as Mr. KEYES could not do it infinitely better than Mr. BICKNELL: but if nobody but a professional judge has the right to criticise the awards at a poultry show, then the less we say in future of the vaunted freedom of this grand country the better.

In report furnished you, the only large tail spoken of, either "cock tail" or hen tail, was that of the 1st prize Y. Duckwing cock, which certainly has a much larger tail than is considered the correct thing among Game fanciers, and I probably know something of the subject, having bred Games years before I heard of Mr. K. as a "hen man."

Six years ago I was the happy possessor of some of the best red piles in Canada; I never exhibited them, but as an indication of their excellence, I will mention that I obtained my stock direct from GEO. M. WATERMAN, Esq., of Albion, N. Y., whose red piles seven and eight years ago swept the decks at all the largest American shows, and are

well known to all Game fanciers of those days; I also bred black-reds, and although I don't pretend to know all about it, yet I flatter myself that I know *almost as much* of the subject as Mr. KEYES, who is undoubtedly a great authority (?) on Games in particular, and all things in general.

The fact is Mr. KEYES does not care a button whether the birds had large "cock tails" or small ones; but I think Mr. K. must have had one before he wrote his report, and it did not seem to agree with him, at any rate, he shows indications of bile.

If I have deprived Mr. K. of an opportunity of displaying his journalistic abilities by reporting the show ahead of him; then I am very sorry, and I ask his pardon; I wish to assure him that my only object was the good of the Association, and a desire to furnish our old friend the REVIEW with anything in the shape of poultry news it is in my power to impart.

Mr. KEYES should recollect that the REVIEW likes reports of shows as soon as possible after they occur; and if he had sent one in time for the regular March number, no doubt, friend DONOVAN would have published it, as it would, of course, have been a more masterly criticism than my feeble effort: and mine would have been consigned to the waste paper basket, and I should have been happy afterwards, in the knowledge that I had once more been saved from displaying my ignorance; yes Sir, ignorance, for when I am in error it is from "sheer ignorance" nothing more or less.

With this explanation, Mr. EDITOR, I will, with your permission, sign myself

YOUR REGULAR CORRESPONDENT.
Ottawa, March 26th, 1888.

"FROM ACROSS THE POND."

Editor Review:—

We note Mr. HOPKINS' letter in REVIEW, Feb. number. All we have to