

opportunity, D. V., of preaching on the subject in St. Paul's Cathedral on Sunday evening next.

London, Feb. 10, 1919.

L. NORMAN TUCKER.

A REJOINDER OF BISHOP FALLON

To the Editor of The Advertiser :

In your issue of this date Canon Tucker attempts to draw a red herring across the track by making certain comments on my sermon of Sunday evening. I do not propose to allow him to so escape the issue raised by himself. On the 3rd instant, Canon Tucker was reported as having said in the pulpit of St. Paul's Cathedral on the day preceding "that the name of the Virgin was substituted for the name of Jesus Christ in the Te Deum and other services of the Catholic Church."

In a public letter of the 4th instant I characterized that statement as "absolutely false and without any foundation in fact." On the same day Canon Tucker repeated his slander in the following terms: "Leaders of the Catholic Church have been promoting the glories of Mary and ascribing to her the attributes of Christ Himself. . . . In societies interested in promoting beliefs in her glories, her name has been substituted in Psalms and in the Te Deum for the name of Christ." On the 5th instant I publicly called upon Canon Tucker "to show proofs for his indefensible distortions of facts that are within the reach of all."

There the issue still stands ; Canon Tucker desires to evade it ; he has not accepted the challenge ; he has not produced the proofs. The charge he made is clear and intelligible : it can be established by producing the Psalms and the Te Deum in which the name of the Virgin Mary has been substituted for that of Christ. Until Canon Tucker produces those proofs or publicly admits that he cannot do so, no other performance of his is worthy the attention of an honest man.

Yours faithfully,

✠ M. F. FALLON,

Bishop of London.

Feb. 11, 1919.