In the Introduction, I have tried, by using contemporary evidence, to show what Carlyle was like as a lecturer, and to recover his audience. The whole story is, I believe, told here for the first time. Thanks to a member of the Carlyle clan, it has been possible to establish, also for the first time, the relation between Heroes, the lectures delivered, after careful preparation, without notes, and Heroes, the elaborated book. As a book, it is, perhaps, the hastiest and slightest of his works, and contains a large number of petty errors which can lessen its value only in the bisson conspectuities of niggling pedants. Still, in the interests of the undergraduate, for the safe-guarding of his literary morals, these errors must be exposed. The young bow too readily to the authority of the printed page. Certain points in the bibliography of Heroes, previously obscure, are now made clear. These are the chief results of two years' study.

My thanks and gratitude are due to the many unknown friends who responded so promptly and generously to my note of inquiry in *The Nation*, June 13, 1898; to Dr. Samuel A. Jones of Ann Arbor, Carlylean professed, for aid heartily given forth from his stores of information and his unrivalled collection of Carlyleana; to Professor Kittredge, my Editorin-Chief, for constant help of all kinds; to Mr. Alexander Carlyle, of 30. Newbattle Terrace, Edinburgh, for his kindness in furnishing extracts from his great kinsman's unpublished letters; and to my friend and colleague, Dr. John Johnson, Professor Emeritus of Classics in this college, for unfailing patience and accuracy in reading proof. In this most difficult art, he hath no fellow.

A. M.

Daliiousie College, Halifax, N.S., Jan. 22, 1901.