

Order Paper Questions

3. 3,024.

4. 433. The number of employees earning more than \$18,000 annually on January 31, 1973 distributed according to \$1,000 intervals is as follows:

Salary interval	No. of employees
\$	
18,000-18,999	92
19,000-19,999	49
20,000-20,999	64
21,000-21,999	57
22,000-22,999	30
23,000-23,999	42
24,000-24,999	26
25,000-25,999	19
26,000-26,999	14
27,000-27,999	13
28,000-28,999	11
29,000-29,999	9
Salary interval	No. of employees
\$	
25,000-31,000	2
28,000-35,000	4
40,000-45,000	1

DEPARTMENT OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS—ESTABLISHMENT AND SALARIES

Question No. 1,086—**Mr. Paproski:**

1. How many full-time employees were under salary in the Department of External Affairs on (a) January 1, 1963 (b) January 1, 1973?

2. How many people in each of these years were receiving salaries of (a) under \$10,000 (b) between \$10,000 and \$20,000 (c) over \$20,000?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Secretary of State for External Affairs): Full-time Employees:

	Under \$10,000	\$10,000-20,000	Over \$20,000	Total
January 1, 1963	1,176	327	13	1,516
January 1, 1973	1,768	699	284	2,751*

*Includes 170 employees integrated from other government departments April 1, 1971.

NATIONAL REVENUE—COST OF ADVERTISING NEW INCOME TAX FORMS

Question No. 1,173—**Mr. Bawden:**

1. What is the total cost of the advertisements explaining the new income tax form?

2. What is the cost breakdown between television, radio, newspaper and other media for the advertisements?

3. What was Mr. Fred Davis paid to appear in this advertising program?

4. What are the qualifications of Mr. Davis that entitle him to advise Canadians on filling out their tax forms?

5. What did the Department of National Revenue spend to advertise income tax forms in each of the past five years?

6. Does the Minister consider the expenditures to be directly proportioned to the complexity of the income tax form?

Hon. Robert Stanbury (Minister of National Revenue):

In so far as the Department of National Revenue, Taxation is concerned: 1. \$1,205,146.10, including \$119,228.32 in production costs.

2. Television: \$358,396.15; Radio: \$181,640.80; Newspaper: \$462,664; Other media: \$83,216.83.

3. Mr. Davis was employed by the advertising agency, not by the department.

4. Since he is known nationally, Mr. Davis was chosen to add impact to the advertisements.

5. 1968-69: \$350,210.35; 1969-70: \$384,545.61; 1970-71: \$352,792.17; 1971-72: \$870,154.83; 1972-73: \$1,205,146.10.

6. The increase in expenditures for the fiscal years 1971-72 and 1972-73 bear no relation to the nature of the new income tax form, which is simpler for most taxpayers than the previous one. An increase in advertising was considered desirable because of new legislation, new regulations and new forms.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE COMMISSION

Question No. 1,290—**Mr. Harney:**

1. What are the qualifications of the Chairman of the Unemployment Insurance Commission for the position he holds?

2. What are the relevant qualifications of the Commissioners for the positions they hold?

3. What are the ages, the dates of initial appointments and the salaries, respectively, of the above?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. The background data requested is in the nature of personal information which is not returnable with regard to individuals.

2. The background data requested is in the nature of personal information which is not returnable with regard to individuals.

3.	Position	Name	Age	Date of Appointments	Salary
	Chairman	G. Cousineau	45	26-6-72	\$34,000-40,000
	Commissioner	T. B. Ward	66	22-6-64	22,000-28,000
	Commissioner	W. E. McBride	53	29-7-71	22,000-28,000