Literature and Science.

SUMMER IN NOVEMBER.

On this bleak evening, pacing to and fro The empty rooms beneath this lonely roof, Listening the echo of a distant hoof, Or the November winds that wildly blow, One thought pursues me wheresoe'er 1 go, As close entwined with me as warp to woof— Dear one, no power can hold our hearts aloof, Because—I love you so ! I love you so ! To-night your shadowy form to me is real As when your visible presence made more blue The August skies, and turned to song its rain ; Gone is the storm—the solitude—I feel You near to me ! What can November do *t* For us midsummer days have come again ?

-A. Ethelwyn Wetherald in The Varsity.

EXTRACTS FROM THE SPEECH

OF JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL, DELIVERED NOVEMBER 8TH, 1886, ON THE 250TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FOUNDATION OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY.

(Continued from last issue.)

DURING the first two centuries of her existence, it may be affirmed that Harvard did sufficiently well the on' work she was called on to do, perhaps the only work it was possible for her to do She gave to Boston her scholarly impress, to the Com monwealth her scholastic impulse. To the clergy of her training was mainly intrusted the oversight of the public schools; these were, as I have said, though indirectly, feeders of the college, for their teaching was of the plainest But if a boy in any country village showed uncommon parts, the clergyman was sure to hear of it. He and the Squire and the Doctor, if there was one, talked it over, and that boy was sure to be helped onward to college; for next to the five points of Calvinism our ancestors believed in a college education, that is, in the best education that was to be had. The system, if system it should be called, was a good one, a practical application of the doctrine of Natural Selection. Ah ! how the parents-nay, the whole family -moiled and pinched that their boy might have the chance denied to them ! Mr. Matthew Ar nold has told us that in contemporary France, which seems doomed to try every theory of enlightenment by which the fingers may be burned or the house set on fire, the children of the public schools are taught in answer to the question, "Who gives you all these fine things?" to say, "The State." Ill fares the State in which the parental image is replaced by an abstraction. The answer of the boy of whom I have been speaking would have been in a spirit better for the State and for the hope of his own future life ; "I owe them, under God, to my own indus-

try, to the sacrifices of my father and mother, and to the sympathy of good men.' Nor was the boy's self-respect lessened, for the aid was given by loans, to be repaid when possible The times have changed, and it is no longer the ambition of a promising boy to go to college. They are taught to think that a common-school education is good enough for all practical purposes. And so perhaps it is, but not for all ideal purposes. Our public schools teach too little or too much : too little if education is to go no further, too many things if what is taught is to be taught thoroughly; and the more they seem to teach, the less likely is education to go further, for it is one of the prime weaknesses of a democracy to be satisfied with the second-best if it appear to answer the purpose tolerably well, and to be changeras it never is in the long run.

Harvard has done much, by raising i standard, to force upwards that also of the preparatory schools. The leaven thus infused will, let us hope, filter gradually downwards till it raise a ferment in the lower grades as well. What we need more than anything else is to increase the number of our highly cultivated men and thoroughly trained minds, for these, wherever they go, are sure to carry with them, consciously or not, the seeds of sounder thinking and of higher ideals. The only way in which our civilization can be maintained even at the level it has reached, the only way in which that level can be made more general and be raised higher, is by bringing the influence of the more cultivated to bear with more energy and directness on the less cultivated, and by opening more inlets to those indirect influences which make for refinement of mind and body. Democracy must show its capacity for producing not a higher average man, but the highest possible types of manhood in all its manifold varieties, or it is a failure. No matter what it does for the body, if it do not in some sort satisfy that inextinguishable passion of the soul for something that lifts life away from prose, from the common and the vulgar, it is a failure. Unless it know how to make itself gracious and winning, it is a failure. Has it done this? Is it doing this? Or trying to do it? Not yet, I think, if one may judge by that commonplace of our newspapers that an American who stays long enough in Europe is sure to find his own country unendurable when he comes back. This is not true, if I may judge from some little experience, but it is interesting as implying a certain consciousness, which is of the most hopeful augury. But we must not be impatient; it is a far cry from the dwellers in caves to even such civilization as we have achieved. I am conrcious that life has been trying to civilize me for now nearly seventy years with what seem to me very inadequate results. We cannot afford to wait, but the Race can. And when I speak of civilization I mean those things that tend to develop the moral forces of Man, and not merely to quicken his æsthetic sensibility, though there is often *p* nearer relation between the two than is popularly believed.

The tendency of a prosperous Democracy -and hitherto we have had little to do but prosper-is towards an overweening conndence in itself and its home-made methods, an overestimate of material success, and a corresponding indifference to the things of the mind. The popular ideal of success seems to be more than ever before the accumulation of riches. I say "seems," for it may be only because the opportunities are greater. I am not ignorant that wealth is the great fertilizer of civilization, and of the arts that beautify it. The very names of civilization and politeness show that the refinement of manners which made the arts possible is the birth of cities where wealth earliest accumulated because it found itself secure Wealth may be an excellent thing, for it means power, it means leisure, it means liberty.

But these, divorced from culture, that is, from intelligent purpose, become the very mockery of their own essence, not goods, but evils fatal to their possessor, and bring with them, like the Nibleng hoard, a doom instead of a blessing. A man rich only for himself has a life as barren and cheerless as the serpent sent to guard a buried treasure. I am saddened when I see our success as a nation measured by the number of acres under tillage or of bushels of wheat exported ; for the real value of a country must be weighed in scales more delicate than the Balance of Trade. The garners of Sicily are empty now, but the bees from all climes still fetch honey from the tiny garden-plot of Theocritus. On a map of the world you may cover Judea with your thumb, Athens with a finger-tip, and neither of them figures in the Prices Current; but they still lord it in the thought and action of every civilized man. Did not Dante cover with his hood all that was Italy six hundred years ago? And, if we go back a century, where was Germany outside of Weimar? Material success is good, but only as the necessary preliminary of better things. The measure of a nation's true success is the amount it has contributed to the thought, the moral energy, the intellectual happiness, the spiritual hope and consolation, of mankind, There is no other, let our candidates flatter us as they may. We still make a confusion between huge aud great. I know that I am repeating truisms, but they are truisms that need to be repeated in season and out of season.

(To be continued.)