rious points in which his views were con- mittee having been appointed to confer dards of the church; but the question given by him as were deemed satisfactorespecting the nature and ex ent of the ry by the Synod. atonement was the principal matter in disput. After hearing and considering had the same doctrines brought under the case, " the Synod, without sanction- their consideration in the case of two oing every thing in the papers and plead- ther individuals. 1. Mr. Robert Moriings, approved of the diligence and fidel- son, minister at Bathgate, and father of ity of the Presbytery of Kilmarnoek-- Mr. James Morison at Kilmarnock, had dismissed the appeal on account of the dissented from the decision which was erroneous and inconsistent opinions set forth by Mr. Morison, and his blameable conduct in regard to the suppression of his tract-continued his suspension-and ference to his own views, the result of appointed a committee to deal with Mr. Morison, and to report to the Synod."-From this sentence Dr. Brown, one of him still further in reference to certain the senior Professors of Theology, dissented; having in the course of the dis- charged. Mr Morison disregarded the cussions in the Synod, although disapproving of some of Mr. Morison's expressions, maintained that the whole was a mere war of words. Mr Morison, after meeting with the committee, disregarded the sentence of suspension, and was at length declared to be no longer in connexion with the United Secession Church.

The Synod, at the same meeting lad views which he had propounded. also before them the case of Mr Robert Walker, minister at Comrie, charged Synod in 1843 atonement similar to those of air. Morison. In considering this case, Dr. Balmer, the other senior Professor of Theology, said that "he had no great objection to say that, in one view, he conceived ceedings in the case of Mr Rutherford, of the death of Christ as having a universal reference, and he had no great objection to the expression universal or general atonement. The offer of salvation was unlimited—all men were invited on the ground of the death of Christ; and it necessarily followed, as he thought, that the death or sacrifice of Christ must. in some sense, have been intended for all" On the following day Dr. Brown said "He could not be present on the previous night, and it was therefore necessary, for the relief of his own mind, for him to avow, that the statements made by Dr. Balmer were made with his full knowledge of what they were to be, and had he been present he would delivered their sentiments fully; and have expressed his entire concurrence their statements were afterwards publishbefore the court, and he would likewise ed. Dr. Balmer declared that "The have concurred in the sentiment, that he death of Christ is a true and perfect sa-

had appealed from a sentence of the Pres- could not continue in a responsible situabytery of Kdmarnock suspending him tion with any comfort to himself, if he from the office of the ministry for preach-had lost the confidence of those who had ing erroneous doctrines. There were va- placed him in that situation." A considered to be inconsistent with the stan- with Mr. Walker, such explanations were

At their meeting in 1842, the Synod son, minister at Bathgate, and father of Mr. James Morison at Kilmarnock, had given in the case of Lis son, at the meeting of Synod in 1841 A committee was appointed to confer with him in rewhich was that he was suspended, and a committee was appointed to deal with doctrinal errors with which he was suspension and was in consequence cut off from the body. 2. The Synod agreed to issue a statement entitled " Doctrinal errors condemned by the United Asso-ciate Synod" Mr A. C. Rutherford, minister at Falkirk, dissenting from a particular portion of this statement, was remitted to his Presbytery to be dealt with in reference to certain doctrinal

Mr Rutherford's case came before the A sentence of suspenwith holding views in reference to the sion which 'ad been passed on him by his Presbytery, was confirmed; and on his protesting, and declining the authority of the Synod, he was separated from the body. In connexion with the prothe Synod found cause to be dissatisfied with some docurinal views expressed by another young minister, the Rev. John Guthrie of Kendal. His case having been immediately taken up, the result was that he also was cut off from the United Secession Church.

At the meeting of Synod in October 1843, an overture was agreed to " That the Synod hold a committee of the whole house, for conference on the divisions in sentiment on doctrinal points agitated in our Churches, and request the two senior Professors to express to the Synod their sentiments on these points." In this conference, Drs. Balmer and Brown