

Mr. STUART requested that the notes of the evidence should be read over to them, which was accordingly ordered to be done by the Court. The evidence having been then read over,

The Jury retired to deliberate upon their verdict; and, in about an hour, informed the Court that they were agreed.

Upon stating to the Court, through Mr. Geo. Hall, their Foreman, their finding upon the first question, it was remarked by the Court that they had omitted to find the *time* and *place*, and they were informed that it was material that they should find "when" and "where." They retired again, and in a quarter of an hour returned their verdict as follows:—The Clerk of the Court reading the questions—

1. Did the Defendant speak and publish of and concerning the Plaintiff the defamatory words set forth in the Plaintiff's declaration, or any and which of them, and at what time and place?

Finding—These words, or words to the same effect, were made use of by the Defendant of and concerning the Plaintiff at Quebec, between Christmas, 1852, and February, 1853,

at the office of Messrs. Gilmour and Company.

2. Were the said words so spoken and published by the Defendant maliciously?

Finding—Yes.

3. Did the Plaintiff thereby lose her marriage, as alleged in the said declaration?

Finding—Yes.

4. At what time was the Plaintiff informed for the first time that the Defendant had spoken and published the said words of and concerning her?

Finding—We cannot say.

5. What was the Plaintiff's general character at the time the said words are proved to have been uttered and published of and concerning the said Plaintiff by the Defendant?

Finding—Generally good.

6. Hath the Plaintiff suffered damage by reason of such scandalous and defamatory words, and at what sum do you assess the said damages?

Finding—We award to the Plaintiff the sum of £600 currency, damages.

And so say we all.

(General applause.)

The Jury were then discharged.

