

FOUR  
 THE STAR is published by  
 THE SUN PRINTING COMPANY,  
 244 St. John, New Brunswick,  
 every afternoon (except Sunday) at  
 10:40 a.m.  
 SUBSCRIPTIONS:-  
 BUSINESS OFFICE, 25,  
 EDITORIAL and NEWS DEPT., 117.  
 ST. JOHN STAR.  
 ST. JOHN, N. B., MAY 22, 1908.  
 NEW BRUNSWICK FRUIT MAR-  
 KET.  
 Nova Scotian fruit packers may not  
 have particularly about the New Brun-  
 swick market, but if they ever again  
 desire to do business in this Province,  
 they will find some difficulty in secur-  
 ing orders. In years gone by St. John  
 was a large purchaser of Nova Scotian  
 fruit, and thousands of barrels were  
 distributed annually from this centre.  
 Business was profitable, showed a  
 healthy increase, and the market might  
 have been retained. But the  
 packers and shippers of Nova Scotia,  
 seeing their eyes towards the larger  
 but more uncertain market in Britain,  
 have neglected the customers near at  
 hand. From time to time complaints  
 were heard that Nova Scotian fruit  
 was not up to the standard. But the  
 packers on the barrels were not al-  
 ways reliable, and that buyers were in-  
 fluenced to be over-cautious in their deal-  
 ings with across-the-bay shippers. These  
 complaints went unheeded, and the No-  
 va Scotian fruit became worse, until on  
 one inspection made last year most  
 of the barrels were found to be in-  
 adequate to the standard. The result  
 was a heavy loss to the Nova Scotian  
 fruit packers. It was not until  
 the Nova Scotian fruit packers  
 were informed of the situation that they  
 began to take steps to improve their  
 fruit. They have now a reputation for  
 being reliable and Ontario pack-  
 ers are fast gaining control of the mar-  
 ket. Indeed some of the larger dealers  
 in this Province have stated that this  
 year they will not buy any Nova Scotian  
 fruit at all. It is true that in Ontario  
 there are some dishonest packers just  
 as there are everywhere else, but they  
 have kept in order by a much closer in-  
 spection and Ontario fruit can usually  
 be accepted at the marketings given on  
 the barrels.  
 POLITICS IN BRITAIN.  
 Since the last general election in  
 Great Britain there have been thirty  
 bye-elections. A summary of the  
 results of these shows that the opposi-  
 tion have succeeded in capturing six  
 seats and have retained all their  
 own with increased majorities in  
 every case. Taking the aggregate in-  
 dividual votes cast in these elections,  
 there has been an opposition increase  
 of 59,444 and a government decrease  
 of 34,465 votes. During the past few  
 weeks there has been an apparent  
 sentiment against the laborite and So-  
 cialist groups, the labor candidate los-  
 ing heavily in the Dundee election. In  
 an appeal for funds in the expenses  
 of the labor representatives in Mont-  
 rose and Dewsbury, only seventy-  
 three pounds were subscribed, of  
 which amount fifty pounds came from  
 a single contributor.  
 THE COTTON MILL STRIKE.  
 Montreal despatches state that  
 3,000 operatives in the Valleyfield  
 mills near Montreal, have quit work  
 in sympathy with their fellows who  
 had refused to accept a 10 per cent.  
 reduction of wages. The operatives in  
 the Magog, Montmorency, Hamilton  
 and Kingston mills to whom the reduc-  
 tion also applies had previously de-  
 cided either to accept it or leave the ques-  
 tion to arbitration by the Labor De-  
 partment. The mills spinners in the  
 Robbena and St. Henri mills of the  
 Dominion Textile Company and of the  
 Valleyfield mills of the Montreal Cot-  
 ton Company were the first to go on  
 strike. It is believed that the trouble  
 was precipitated by the influence of  
 the International Federation of Tex-  
 tile Workers. At the time the mills had  
 a considerable stock of yarn ahead and  
 could continue weaving for some little  
 time. The latest developments have  
 already resulted in the closing of  
 several mills. The prospects are not  
 favorable for the strikers. A general  
 adjustment of wages is being made in  
 all lines of industry all over America  
 owing to the prevailing dullness, and  
 Upper Canadian employers feel that  
 they must either enforce this reduc-  
 tion or continue operations at a heavy  
 loss which of course would mean disas-  
 ter. In the United States wages of mill  
 employees have been generally reduced  
 from 10 per cent. to 25 per cent.  
 Prices of yarns and manufactured  
 goods have fallen from 20 to 40 per  
 cent and dread competition has set in  
 which must of necessity affect Cana-  
 dian mills. It is believed, bring about  
 their ultimate defeat inasmuch as other  
 cotton manufacturing countries would  
 not competing operatives into the  
 Canadian field.

MR. MCKANE'S ADVICE.  
 According to the Telegraph, Mr. John  
 McKane on Wednesday sent the fol-  
 lowing message:  
 'New York, May 20.  
 "Congratulations. Short range fight  
 is bound to win. Hit them while  
 they stagger."  
 JOHN MCKANE.  
 Evidently those addressed appreciated  
 the fact that Mr. McKane thor-  
 oughly understood what would be the effect  
 of such a blow. The answer sent to  
 Mr. McKane was as follows:  
 "Ottawa, May 21.  
 "Thanks for kind congratulations.  
 We shall not fail in our duty."  
 R. L. BORDEN.  
 As the Telegraph most truly re-  
 marks, the above messages are self-  
 explanatory.  
 THE OLDEST INHABITANT.  
 "Mighty little you know about wealth-  
 er."  
 Mutters Fernando Jones.  
 "I've seen it just like this for months  
 together."  
 Avers Fernando Jones.  
 "I've skated on ice in the month of  
 May."  
 In June I've travelled around in a  
 sleigh.  
 And I froze an ear on a July day.  
 Once," said Fernando Jones.  
 "Remember that year without a sum-  
 mer."  
 Queries Fernando Jones.  
 "I guess you don't. Well, that was a  
 hummer."  
 Declares Fernando Jones.  
 "It was Eighteen Sixteen. I mind it  
 yet."  
 Or Seventeen Sixteen—I 'most forgot—  
 But I don't forget how it felt, you bet!"  
 Attests Fernando Jones.  
 "You call this a rain? It's only a mist!"  
 Exclaims Fernando Jones.  
 "I have dodged raindrops as big as  
 your fist."  
 Affirms Fernando Jones.  
 "As a weather watcher your name is  
 mild."  
 "I could tell you things that would  
 chill your blood."  
 Why I was with Noah during the  
 flood!"  
 Exults Fernando Jones.  
 Magistrate (sternly)—Did I tell you  
 the last time you were here I never  
 wanted you to come before me again?  
 Prisoner—Yes, sir; but I couldn't  
 make the policeman believe it.  
 "Let me illustrate the difference be-  
 tween capital and labor," said the rich  
 "suppose I give you \$200."  
 "That's capital," replied the nephew,  
 "extending his hand for the money."  
 "Are there depths," moaned the pes-  
 simist, "which human depravity will not  
 reach?"  
 "There are," responded the optimist  
 firmly, "I never knew a man to re-  
 sistably against the home team."

THE MISUSE OF WEALTH.  
 Mr. Roosevelt, says the London  
 Spectator, plays an exceptional and a  
 very useful part in the formation of  
 public opinion. The singular frank-  
 ness which characterizes some of his  
 speeches could hardly be shown in any  
 position but that which he actually  
 holds. A King necessarily speaks with  
 reserve up to almost every question  
 that comes before him. Even the Czar  
 has to consider the effect of what he  
 may say on the tastes which he has  
 imposed on his Ministers; and Sover-  
 eigns must be fettered by Constitutional  
 limitations and their highest wisdom  
 in leaving the expression of opinion on  
 public matters to those who are re-  
 sponsible for the Government.  
 Still less is the French President his  
 own master in point of speechmaking.  
 In the first instance, he is a man whose  
 representative of a particular party as  
 the Prime Minister himself, and in  
 what in France seems the highly im-  
 likely event of a sudden swing of the  
 pendulum, it is probable that he would  
 not long outstay his political sup-  
 porters. No living ruler is so little  
 not a position likely to suggest or en-  
 courage freedom of utterance.  
 SPEAKS WITH AUTHORITY.  
 The President of the United States  
 suffers from none of these disadvan-  
 tages. He is the elected representa-  
 tive, no doubt, of one of the two great  
 parties which divide the American de-  
 mocracy; but his Ministers are of his  
 own choosing, and his relations with  
 the Legislature have none of the in-  
 timacy which characterizes those of a  
 party leader to his Parliamentary sup-  
 porters. No living ruler is so little  
 responsible to any judgment but his  
 own. He speaks, indeed, with the au-  
 thority conferred on him by millions  
 of votes, but he speaks also with the  
 freedom born of the knowledge that  
 when he lays down his office he leaves  
 out of active political life, and leaves  
 his words to be judged by their own  
 intrinsic value.  
 MOST OUTSPOKEN MESSAGE.  
 Mr. Roosevelt's latest message to  
 Congress is the most outspoken even  
 of the remarkable series to which it  
 belongs. The subject is one that he  
 has often handled—the misuse of  
 wealth by that small section of the  
 community which possesses it in ex-  
 ceptional amounts. The special form  
 of this misuse against which his at-  
 tack is directed, is not course his old  
 enemy the Trust. The President sees  
 clearly that the "tasteless and extrava-  
 gant luxury" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-  
 monly the undertaking by which the  
 fortune was made is a matter of in-  
 terest to the descendants of those who made it.  
 They are thrown back on the "nominal  
 pleasures" which Mr. Roosevelt de-  
 nounces as so undeserving of the name  
 they bear. This is the kind of wealth  
 that does so much to encourage so-  
 called "nominal pleasures." More com-<