Mr. Campbell is an eminont compeny lawyer, and has successfnlly looked after the interests of a large number of corporitions; he has also, during his term of office as Attnrney-General, been instrumental in bringing about the settlemert of many important matters for the Province. $H e$ is a member of the Presbyterian Church, a d has, for many years, taken a prominent part in all meetings of that body, and is prominently assodiated with many chureh, charitable and educational works and institutions. He has recently presented many valuable works of art to sehools throughout the Province.

Mr. Campbell was maried in July, 1884, to Minnie J. B. Buck, second daughter of Dr. Ansen Buck of Parlemo, and has two children, a son and a daughter.

## ANOMALOUS OR IRHEGULAK INDORSEMENT.

No one but a payce or subscquent holder can properly be an indorser, but a number of cases have occurred in England. Canada and the United States in which the courts have been obliged to consider the effect of an indowement made in contravention of this principle by one who is not either the payee or a subsequent hoider and the decisions on the subject are infinitely conflicting axd confusing.

In an carly case of Bishop v. Hayward, 4 T.R. 470, an attempt was made to evade the principle just stated. It was a note declared upon as made by Collins to Bishop or order and afterwards endorsed by Bishop to the defendant Hayward, who afterwards re-indorsed it to the plaintiff. No other facts are stated, the case having como before the Court on a motion in arrest of judgenent on a verdict for the plaintiff, but counsel suggested in the course of argument as a possible state of facts consistent with the declaration that Collins, being indebted to the plaintiff, the latter refused to accept his note unless Hayward would endorse jt. Had this been done simpliciter the question would arise whether Hayward, who was not the payee, could be held liable as indorser, and it would have been contrary to the

