

Held, following *Hulbert v. Peterson*, 36 S.C.R. 324, that the "subsequent purchaser" mentioned in s. 29 must be one who purchased after the expiration of the time limited for registration in the division to which the goods had been removed, and that the mortgage was valid as against him as well as the other plaintiffs.

Appeal from verdicts in the County Court for plaintiffs allowed with costs and judgments for defendant ordered to be entered in the County Court with costs.

Bradshaw and Geo. Paterson (Deloraine), for plaintiffs.
Robson and Blackwood, for defendant.

Full Court.] -

OTTO v. CONNERY.

[March 7.

Garnishment—Attachment of money in hands of County Court clerk to which debtor entitled—R.S.M. 1902, c. 38—Equitable execution in County Court.

Held, that money paid into a County Court for the benefit of one of the parties to a suit in that Court are not attachable in the hands of the clerk of the Court by garnishee process at the suit of a creditor of such party. *Dolphin v. Layton*, 4 C.P. D. 130, followed in preference to *Bland v. Andrews*, 45 U.C.R. 431. *Ross v. Goodier*, not reported, decided by CUMBERLAND, Co. J., in 1894, approved.

Quære, whether the money could not be reached by way of charging order or equitable execution as by the appointment of a receiver.

Thornburn, for plaintiff. *Crichton*, for defendant and garnishee.

Full Court.]

COPELAND-CHATTERSON CO. v. HICKOK.

[March 7.

Contract—Consideration—Injunction to restrain breach of agreement.

Appeal from judgment of MACDONALD, J., granting an injunction to restrain defendant from carrying on business in competition with the plaintiff company in violation of an agreement signed by defendant that he would not, within one year