

tion of *Von Doctor*, I am informed, is the one represented by you, as "the knight-errant against the quacks and empirics, those great desolators of the human race."

Away with such an idle waste of time, but Gratiano, beware of

JUNIUS.

I have observed in the *Quebec Mercury*, of the 19th ult. two anecdotes, copied from No. 50 of the *Scribbler*, without acknowledgment; now, having borrowed them myself, (though I defy the editor of the *Mercury*, to point out, in any reasonable time, whence they were taken,) I should have considered them as fair game for any newspaper to *avail of* (*not avail itself of*, which is a barbarism, in the English language, which ought to be exploded,) had not one of them been of an indelicate description, the introduction of a few of which, became the occasion of the watch-yelp, with which the little curs once pestered the heels of my Pegasus. The editor of the *Mercury*, in his own attacks upon my paper, in May last, laid great stress on the alledged obscenity, impurity, and indelicacy of my writings, and now copies one of the most indelicate articles into his own columns. To be sure it is not very obscene, but then I contend it is one of the most so that can be found in the *Scribbler*, and comes with a very bad grace from the writer of the editorial paragraph of the *Mercury*, of the 7th May last. So much so, that it gives me a fair occasion of retorting upon him his own quotation from Cicero.

*Qui sui hoc sumere ut mos corrigere alius, ac peccatum reprehendere, quis hic ignoscere, si quis in res ipse ab officium declinare.*

"He that has taken this upon himself to cor"