

We should be ashamed of such behaviour. To those who can not find any other means than taxes to allow the necessary increases and who did not hesitate to give themselves the increases we all know about, I would ask why they cannot do something to give justified increases to those who need them much more than they do? Why try to ratio Canadian families? Producers and dealers are advertising their goods at great cost.

Why are taxes allowed to undermine the effect of such advertising?

It is simply illogical. In cutting down the flow of money by abusive taxes, the sale of products is at the same time restricted. It is not necessary to be a genius to understand that.

As far as products are concerned, we seem to understand that it is not necessary to deprive people in order to provide all Canadian families with the products they need.

Canada, a rich country, can certainly feed and clothe all Canadians at the same time without depriving some to serve the others. It is a mere question of seeing real wealth in goods instead of seeing it in the symbol which is only a means of getting the goods which are plentiful.

It is unfortunate that too many politicians seem to take seriously the information supplied by the financiers' noisy publicity. It is not surprising that a certain number of our graduates are so eager to turn to socialism.

With their acquired and unassimilated knowledge of science and letters and mostly on account of their ambition to reign rather than serve, they get ready for higher positions in order to enjoy real security. They should rather take into account the needs of the population in general.

We demand tax free allowances and pensions, but this is something that most of our economists are unable to comprehend. They understand everything that is to their advantage, but when it comes to others, they oppose various objections.

We waste too much time, here in this House, trying to find out who—rather than what—is right.

\* \* \*

[English]

#### CANADA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

On the order: Introduction of Bills:

November 24, 1970—Mr. Saltzman—Bill intituled: "An Act to establish a Canada Development Corporation".

**Mr. Speaker:** I indicated to the House last Friday that the Chair had reservations about this bill and suggested to hon. members who might like to argue about its acceptability from the procedural standpoint that they could argue about it at this time or later, if they preferred to. If the hon. member for Waterloo wishes to present arguments at this time in support of the bill from a procedural standpoint, I shall be pleased to hear him.

**Mr. Saltzman:** Mr. Speaker, bearing in mind your remarks of last week, I have gone through the bill in an

#### Questions

attempt to make it acceptable to you, Sir, and I hope that my efforts have been successful. The purpose of a private member's bill very frequently is to direct attention to an important matter. It is not our intention in the bill to suggest that it should involve implications for government revenue. The corporation would be entirely self-sufficient to that extent. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, in light of our intention that this bill should not involve any implications respecting revenue for the government, I hope you will permit it to be introduced.

**Mr. Speaker:** If there is no further argument about the bill, perhaps I might be allowed to look at the matter a little more closely. I told the hon. member last week that I was very anxious to give him the benefit of the doubt. Perhaps what has been done with other bills before might be done with this one in the sense that we might be able to look at what might be considered as the financial provisions of the bill and, with the agreement of the House, have it amended accordingly. In any event, I will look into the matter and see if there is anything that can be done by the Chair in co-operation with the hon. member and in co-operation with the parliamentary counsel to make the bill acceptable from a procedural standpoint.

\* \* \*

• (2:40 p.m.)

#### CRIMINAL CODE

AMENDMENT RESPECTING OBLITERATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE SERIAL NUMBERS

**Mr. Barry Mather (Surrey)** moved for leave to introduce Bill C-201, to amend the Criminal Code (obliteration of motor-vehicle serial numbers).

**Some hon. Members:** Explain.

**Mr. Mather:** Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this bill is simply to make it illegal to operate or possess a motor vehicle on which the serial number has been altered or obliterated. The only conceivable reason for obliterating or altering a motor vehicle serial number is to try to conceal the fact that the vehicle has been stolen. Last year stolen cars cost Canadians over \$20 million.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

#### QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

NATIONAL FILM BOARD—FILM ON PREMIER  
J. R. SMALLWOOD

Question No. 109—**Mr. Carter:**

1. What was the cost of the film produced by the National Film Board on Premier J. R. Smallwood, tentatively titled Smallwood?