

AMALGAMATION OF P.F.R.A. AND
P.F.A.A. OFFICES

Question No. 110—**Mr. Ormiston:**

Have any representations been made to the Minister of Agriculture or his officials regarding the amalgamation of the offices of P.F.R.A. and P.F.A.A. and, if so, by whom and for what purpose?

Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Agriculture): No representations have been made to the Minister of Agriculture or his officials for the amalgamation of the offices of P.F.R.A. and P.F.A.A.

EMPLOYEES LEAVING FORESTRY
DEPARTMENT

Question No. 113—**Mr. Ormiston:**

What are the names, classifications and salaries of all graduate foresters, entomologists and pathologists who have left the employment of the forestry department since April 22, 1963?

Hon. Maurice Sauvé (Minister of Forestry): Atwell, E. A., Forestry Officer 4, \$10,300; Balch, R. E., Research Officer 5, Forestry, \$11,800; Callahan, K. L., Research Officer 2A, Forestry, \$7,680; Campbell, I. M., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,760; Charlton, C. A., Forestry Officer 4, \$9,500; Chiko, A. W., Research Officer 1, Forestry, \$5,940; Conners, W. M., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$9,000; Csizmazia, J., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,020; Day, R. J., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$7,920; Faulkner, P., Research Officer 4, Forestry, \$9,880; Fye, R. E., Research Officer 4, Forestry, \$9,140; Hale, J. D., Research Officer 4, Forestry, \$10,700; Haynes, D. L., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,640; Herdy, H., Research Officer 1, Forestry, \$5,940; Hokhold, F., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,320; Hopping, G. R., Research Officer 5, Forestry, \$10,800; Jeffrey, R. C., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$6,540; Kozak, A., Research Officer 2A, Forestry, \$7,680; Lortie, J. E. M., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$7,320; MacArthur, J. P., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,140; MacKay, G. D. M., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,280; MacTavish, J. S., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$9,000; McCallum, A. W., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,140; McLenahan, J. L., Research Officer 4, Forestry, \$10,700; Milleth, R. S., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$9,000; Nighswander, J. E., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,140; Ogilvie, R. T., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,140; Peterson, E. B., Research Officer 1, Forestry, \$6,060; Pielou, E. C., Research Officer 4, Forestry, \$10,300; Raymond, F. L., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,320; Pilon, J. G., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$7,320; Riley, C., Research Director 2, Forest-

23033—37½

Questions

ry, \$11,100; Siegle, H. W., Research Officer 2A, Forestry, \$8,064; Smereka, E. P., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$5,940; Stairs, G. R., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,280; Szalamin, G. J., Research Officer 1, Forestry, \$5,520; Timonin, M. I., Research Officer 5, Forestry, \$12,508; Valiquette, L. P. J., Research Officer 2, Forestry, \$6,420; Vaughn, J. L., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,280; Vezina, P. E., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,040; Wagner, J. C., Forestry Officer 3, \$8,400; Watson, E. B., Research Officer 3, Forestry, \$8,760; Watt, K. E. F., Research Officer 5, Forestry, \$12,300.

FILM BOARD MATERIAL FOR
POLITICAL PARTIES

Question No. 115—**Mr. Ormiston:**

Have National Film Board facilities been used for the preparation or screening of films or film material for any political party, political organization or political representative since April 22, 1963?

Answer: No.

TRANSFER OF POWERS FROM TREASURY
BOARD COMPTROLLER

Question No. 118—**Mr. Ormiston:**

Have any responsibilities, powers, duties and functions been transferred from the comptroller of the Treasury Board since April 22, 1963, and, if so, what are they?

Answer: Nil.

SALE OF CANADIAN ARSENALS PLANT

Question No. 123—**Mr. Caouette:**

Has Canadian Arsenals Limited sold its plant at Salaberry and, if so, (a) why was the plant sold (b) what was the price of the sale (c) was the price set by experts (d) what were the advantages of the sales for the crown corporation (e) was it the board of directors who decided to sell the plant (f) was the decision approved by the governor in council (g) does the corporation intend to sell other plants (h) what are the terms of payment (i) were there any tenders and, if so (i) who were the tenderers (ii) what was the amount of each tender (j) to whom was the plant sold (k) what criterion was followed in the choice of the purchaser?

Hon. C. M. Drury (Minister of Industry): Yes. (a) To relieve the Crown of the cost of maintaining the plant solely for military purposes, to increase employment, and to stimulate the economy of the area. (b) \$1,757,000. (c) The price was established by negotiation between the purchaser and the Department of Defence Production. (d) A condition of the sale was the retention of military capability. The Crown was, therefore, relieved of the cost of maintaining such capability. (e) The decision was taken by the Department of Defence Production and concurred in by the