Walker had this before him, with a yellowish f fulvicosta. Mr. Butler writes of this species : "H. conscita = vestalis var. = fulvicosta var."

C. INTERRUPTO-MARGINATA De Beauv.

According to Mr. Butler, this form has been previously described by Peter Brown as *clymene*. The citation and description I have given in my paper. This will please Mr. Lyman, since it does away with an objectionable name, though it has the disadvantage of compelling a new association between name and insect.

C. CLYMENE Esp.

As this name was pre-occupied by Brown as above stated, the next name in order of time must be used, and this is *C. colona* Hb.—not cited by Mr. Lyman.

C. CONSCITA Wlk.

Mr. Lyman cites my name *lactata* as a synonym—erroneously, I think. Mr. Walker confused two, if not three, species under the one name, and in addition referred them to the wrong genus. Such a species as that intended by Walker never existed, and I do not think any point should be stretched in his favor. Besides, Mr. Lyman is not consistent. The same reasoning that makes *lactata* a synonym of *conscita*, will make *suffusa* a synonym of *reversa*—or worse—Mr. Stretch's description includes also *confusa* Lyman, and on this theory one part of Mr. Stretch's species is a synonym of *contigua*. I separated *suffusa*, and the name stands for the remaining part, viz., *confusa* Lyman, which would remain only as a synonym of *reversa*. I scarcely expect Mr. Lyman's adhesion to this theory, but unless he so holds, my *lactata* will stand.

I propose in view of the preceding, the following synonymy, adopting here my own order of species:

1. CLYMENE Brown. interrupto-marginata DeB. comma Wlk.

2. COLONA Hb. clymene || Esp. carolina Harr.

3. LACTATA Smith. 3 conscita Wlk., in part.