

-2-

"In some instances, this concave-convex form may be such that the supporting surfaces will be curved toward each other in a fore and aft direction, the upper surface having its upper side and the lower surface its lower side convex in a fore and aft direction. Preferably, however, the upper surface has its upper side convex and the lower surface its lower side convex in a direction from side to side, while said surfaces are approximately parallel along the lines where they would be cut by any vertical fore and aft plane".

With such a statement in the body of the specification it would be made clear that the inventor contemplated as an expression of his inventive idea the concave-convex supporting surfaces, whether the concavity extended in a lateral or a fore and aft direction, and the expression of the claims, such for example as claim I, is broad enough to include either of these forms. We think that your suggestion and discussion of this matter will thus have enabled us to materially broaden the specification.

Specification, page 15, line 3. Your criticism as to the "sole" function at this point is quite correct, and the word "sole" was left in from the old specification by an oversight.

Mr. Baldwin's suggestion for a broader claim than present claim 13 is a good one, though we fear that the claim is so broad that we will have difficulty in obtaining it. Nevertheless, we will make the effort.

Claim 14. The suggested correction to this claim is a good one, and will be made.