min min tum It is not often that we read of o
hear from the pulpit such a scathing criticism as that of the Rev. Bermard
Vaughan, S.J., in his sermon two weeks ago, in aid of the Cnthotic Nur-
ses' Institute. The sermon was preached in St. George's Cathedral, London-.
and nearly a third of the immense and nearly a third of the immense
congregation consisted of non-Catholics. The text taken was: "And if a
house, be divided against itself that house cannot stand." After drawing attention to the fact
that Catholics all-even childrenthat Catholics all-even children-
know their religion, while Protest ants cannot agree upon any one truth
or teaching, or text, he pointed out some dogmas of the Catholic Church,
upon which no two soctions of the Established Church could agree. Me
ferring to the recent lengthy corresferring to the recen
pondence in the press on the question of what was the teaching of the
tablished Church about confession,
said:".In an important matter of
kind one would have thought that kind one would have thought that af
ter a year something definite woul
have been settled about this teaching have been settled about this teaching
nut it was not so- some regarder
confession as a divine institution, some as a device or the devil, others
as a trick of the priest to deceive the
"old "old ladies" of both sexes. But con
fession could not be all these thing at the same time. It could not be
derice of the devil and a divine inst $i-$ detion. It could be one or the other but not both. Then, as to the Hol
Eucharist, it seemed that as long a the Established Churclr was kept to gether every one was free to acc
what he liked as the teacking up this matter. They were told in effect
that the Church of England was coinWas defined in that was he (the prea-
cher) granted he was in the wrong Churh, and that the Fstal: Misherd
Church was the right one, for the

## CATHOLIC FORESTERS' CONVENTION.

| That the above Convention which ncluded its labors last Saturday orning, marked in $\mathrm{r} \%$ in the rinth. ic world, the follwoing reports from $r$ specinl correspondent clearly denstrates. To the citizens of Burlton, it was an inovation, it being first Catholic demonstration of $y$ magnitude presented to the ayes our Protestant brethren, with 4000 n in line, all in winiform, bands of music. ile st gatiy unreinof the men, the numerous llags banners carried by the different urts. The intelligent appearance of delegates, many of them clergy$n$ from all over this continent, was ight which will be long rememberand talked |
| :---: |
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 The Church of England could agree on any of the doctrines be lhad
mentioned, and they were told that
a house divided aginst a house divided against itseli cou
not stand. In effect it seemed to that the Church of England wats with
 that was clearly definite unmess it
was clearly true? The Church of f:ngland had no certainty of its dor
trines even if it was agreed nuon they trines even if it was agreed npon they
were those of Jesus Christ. It, hat
been recently dechared in Parlinument that what was decided, or not decit
ed, there was binding upon clergy an
laity. Thus it followed that crow clerical authority, and thut the
Curch was a State departuent, lihe
the Home Ofice, Foreigry oflice, or the Home Olfice, Foreign Oflice,
the Post oflice, the only difference b ing that there was orderliness in
these offices, but in the Church of E:1 All were alike departments of the
Crown and creatures of the State. The Bishops were appointed by the Prime elected the new bishop, but if they did not elect the nominee oi the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ 'ime
Minister they were guity of a penal ofience, and after the consecration of
the Bishop he went to set the the Bisnop he went to see the Quee
to ncknowletge that he received al
his spiritualities as well as tennurat
ities from her. The Bishops were sim

 stir themekes, na set heir hous on historical facts bearing upon th
origin of their Church, and they wer not likely to agrec upon the points
doctrine. He had laid down the pri
cipe that knowedge to be of use ciple that knowledge to be of use
commercial or professional life mus be definite and certain, and he woun
ask his non-Catholic fellow-country men whether in religion definite all
certain knowledgo was not, at leas certain knowledgo was not, at
equally necessary, and whether the
and Church teaching the doctrine of our
Divine Lord? Was theirs the Churc of Our Divine Lord? If it was not
then he asked, what was it? Wh
seemed to say, "Let Parliament ans seemed to say, Lhe Church of Eugland was could say what it, was. One thin
was certain, the Church of England










 two litres a year.'
can Acis, our Prohibition Plelviscites, and our numberless public and private
systems--goldcures, Dixon cures and systems--goldcures, Dixon cures anid
other cures- surely Canada shouid
be almost a barren field for temperance work in the future. Yet, uniortu-
nately, there is still room for great
improvement. However, it is a grand
satisfaction to know that our Dom-


danterenus thad
oughly bad ond
ore
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| not allowimportane Fund whiccht will placto guaranttime. |
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